arXiv:1405.0472v3 [cs.SC] 9 Mar 2016

MACAULAY-BUCHBERGER BASIS THEOREM FOR RESIDUE CLASS POLYNOMIAL RINGS WITH TORSION AND BORDER BASES OVER RINGS

AMBEDKAR DUKKIPATI, NITHISH PAI, MARIA FRANCIS

Abstract. In this paper, we generalize the Macaulay-Buchberger basis theorem to the case where the residue class polynomial ring over a Noetherian, commutative ring is not necessarily a free module. Recently, this theorem has been extended to polynomial rings over rings, when residue class polynomial ring is a free module (Francis & Dukkipati, 2014). As an application of this generalization we develop a theory of border bases for ideals where the corresponding residue class rings are finitely generated and have torsion. We present a border division algorithm and prove the termination of the algorithm for a special class of border bases. We show the existence of such border bases and present some characterizations in this regard. We also show that the reduced Gröbner bases given by (Pauer, 2007) is contained in this class of border bases.

1. Introduction

Buchberger (1965) introduced Gröbner bases theory for polynomial rings over fields to give an algorithmic technique to determine a vector space basis of the residue class polynomial ring of a zero dimensional ideal. This is called Macaulay-Buchberger basis theorem, as Buchberger's result is based on the work by Macaulay (1916). Even though the theory of Gröbner bases was extended to polynomial rings over Noetherian commutative rings with unity a while ago (*e.g.*, Trinks, 1978; Möller, 1988; Zacharias, 1978), the Macaulay-Buchberger basis theorem was extended to free residue class rings over rings only recently in (Francis & Dukkipati, 2014). For this, a Gröbner basis characterization was given that led to an algorithmic method to test whether for a given monomial order, the residue class polynomial ring over a Noetherian, commutative ring, A, has a free A-module representation or not. This result is also an elegant generalization of the fact that $Z[x]/\langle f\rangle$ is free if and only f is monic, to a multivariate case over any Noetherian ring. In this paper we consider a finitely generated residue class polynomial ring, $A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ that need not necessarily have a free A-module representation and study the possibility of generalizing Macaulay-Buchberger basis theorem to this case. This generalization helps us develop a theory of border bases for polynomial rings over rings.

Border bases, an alternative to Gröbner bases, are well studied for polynomial rings over fields (Kehrein *et al.*, 2005; Kehrein & Kreuzer, 2005). For a good exposition the reader can refer to (Kreuzer & Robbiano, 2005). Though border bases are restricted to zero dimensional ideals, the motivation for border bases comes from the numerical

stability of border bases over Gröbner bases (Stetter, 2004). There has been considerable interest in the theory of border bases, from characterization (Kehrein & Kreuzer, 2005) to methods of computation (Kehrein & Kreuzer, 2006) to computational hardness (Ananth & Dukkipati, 2012). The concept of border bases has been extended to rings where the corresponding residue class polynomial ring has a free A-module representation w.r.t. some monomial order and is finitely generated as a A-module (Francis & Dukkipati, 2014). In this paper we extend border bases, where we relax the condition of free A-module representation of the residue class ring.

Organization. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we set the notation and recall some preliminaries on Gröbner bases and border bases in polynomial rings over fields. We give the generalized Macaulay-Buchberger basis theorem in Section 4 and in Section 5, we consider the special case of finitely generated residue class polynomial rings. In Section 6, we study order ideal, border of an ideal, border closure and then define border prebases. We also present the border prebasis division algorithm. In Section 7, we define a special class of border prebases called acyclic border prebases and show that the border prebasis division algorithm terminates for this class of border bases. In Section 8, we define acyclic border bases and give certain characterizations. Finally, a detailed example is worked out in Section 9. We give concluding remarks in Section 10.

2. Background & Preliminaries

2.1. **Notations.** Let $\mathbb{N}$ denote the set of natural numbers including zero, and $\mathbb{Z}$ denote the ring of integers. A polynomial ring in indeterminates $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ over a Noetherian, commutative ring A is denoted by $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. Throughout this paper, the rings we consider are rings with unity. When $A = \Bbbk$, where $\Bbbk$ is a field, we write it as $\Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. A monomial in indeterminates $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ is denoted by x^α, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$. The monoid isomorphism between the set of all monomials in indeterminates $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ and $\mathbb{N}^n$ allows us to denote the set of all monomials by $\mathbb{N}^n$. We use $\mathbb{N}^n_M$ and $\mathbb{N}^n_{<M}$ to represent the set of monomials of degree M and monomials of degree less than M, respectively. When we need to deal with more than one monomial, in variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$, we index these monomials as $x^{\alpha_1}, x^{\alpha_2}, \ldots, x^{\alpha_\ell}$. By 'term' we mean cx^α, where $c \in A$ and $c \neq 0$. We will denote all the terms in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ by $\mathrm{Ter}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n])$ and all the monomials in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ by $\mathrm{Mon}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n])$. Let $T \subseteq \mathrm{Ter}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n])$ be a set of terms, possibly infinite. We define the monomial part of T, denoted by $\mathrm{Mon}(T)$, as $\mathrm{Mon}(T) = \{x^\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n : ax^\alpha \in T, \text{ for some nonzero } a \in A\}$.

A polynomial $f \in A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is denoted by

$$f = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} a_\alpha x^\alpha \,,$$

where $a_\alpha \in A$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$ is a finite set. Λ is called the support of the polynomial f, denoted by $\mathrm{supp}(f)$. The set of monomials appearing with nonzero coefficients in f is denoted by $\mathrm{Mon}(f)$. The set of all terms appearing in f is denoted by $\mathrm{Ter}(f)$, i.e. $\mathrm{Ter}(f) = \{a_\alpha x^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$. If $F \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is a set of polynomials

then $\mathrm{Mon}(F) = \bigcup_{f\in F} \mathrm{Mon}(f)$. Similarly, $\mathrm{Ter}(F) = \bigcup_{f\in F} \mathrm{Ter}(f)$. Given a set of terms T and an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ the set of residue class elements of T modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ is denoted by $T+\mathfrak{a}$. That is $T+\mathfrak{a} = \{ax^\alpha+\mathfrak{a} : ax^\alpha \in T\}$. Given a set of polynomials, $B \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, the span of B over A is given by $\mathrm{Span}_A(B) = \{\sum_{i=1}^{s} a_i f_i : a_i \in A, f_i \in B, s \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

With respect to a monomial order $\prec$, the leading monomial, leading coefficient and leading term of a polynomial $f \in A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ are denoted by $\mathrm{Lm}(f)$, $\mathrm{Lc}(f)$ and $\mathrm{Lt}(f)$ respectively. That is, we have $\mathrm{Lt}(f) = \mathrm{Lc}(f)\,\mathrm{Lm}(f)$. Given a set $S \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, possibly infinite, $\mathrm{Lt}(S)$ denotes the set of all leading terms of polynomials in S. The leading term ideal (or initial ideal) of S is denoted by $\langle \mathrm{Lt}(S)\rangle$ and is given by $\langle \mathrm{Lt}(S)\rangle = \langle \{\mathrm{Lt}_\prec(f) \mid f \in S\}\rangle$. Similarly, the leading monomial ideal and the leading coefficient ideal of S are denoted by $\langle \mathrm{Lm}(S)\rangle$ and $\langle \mathrm{Lc}(S)\rangle$ respectively. Note that the leading coefficient ideal is an ideal in the coefficient ring, A. The ideal generated by $f_1, \ldots, f_s \in A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is denoted by $\langle f_1, \ldots, f_s\rangle$.

Definition 2.1. A set $S \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is said to be monic w.r.t. a monomial order if the leading coefficient of each polynomial in S is 1.

2.2. **Gröbner bases in $\Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.**

Definition 2.2. A monomial x^α is said to be a standard monomial w.r.t. an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ if x^α is not divisible by any of the leading monomials of $\mathfrak{a}$ *i.e.*, $x^\alpha \notin \langle \mathrm{Lm}(\mathfrak{a})\rangle$.

Note that in fields, $\langle \mathrm{Lt}(\mathfrak{a})\rangle = \langle \mathrm{Lm}(\mathfrak{a})\rangle$.

Corollary 2.3. *Let G be a Gröbner basis for an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. A monomial, x^α is a standard monomial w.r.t. $\mathfrak{a}$ if and only if $x^\alpha \notin \langle \mathrm{Lm}(G)\rangle$.*

Theorem 2.4 (Macaulay Basis Theorem)**.** *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal in $\Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. The residue classes of the terms in $\Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\langle \mathrm{Lm}(\mathfrak{a})\rangle$ form a $\Bbbk$-vector space basis of $\Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$. That is $\{x^\alpha + \mathfrak{a} : x^\alpha \notin \langle \mathrm{Lm}(\mathfrak{a})\rangle\}$ forms a $\Bbbk$-vector space basis of $\Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$.*

The theory of Gröbner bases gives us an algorithmic method to determine the $\Bbbk$-vector space basis of $\Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$. This is due to the following result.

Theorem 2.5 (Macaulay-Buchberger Basis Theorem (Buchberger, 1965))**.** *Let $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_t\}$ be a Gröbner basis for an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. A basis for the vector space $\Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is given by $S = \{x^\alpha + \mathfrak{a} : \mathrm{Lm}(g_i) \nmid x^\alpha, i = 1, \ldots, t\}$.*

2.3. **Border bases in $\Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.** Here we briefly recall definitions related to border bases.

Definition 2.6. A finite set of monomials $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$ is called an order ideal if it is closed under forming divisors i.e., for $x^\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ if $x^\beta \in \mathcal{O}$ and $x^\alpha | x^\beta$ then $x^\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$.

Definition 2.7. Let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$ be an order ideal. The border of $\mathcal{O}$ is the set $\partial\mathcal{O} = (x_1\mathcal{O} \cup \ldots \cup x_n\mathcal{O}) \setminus \mathcal{O}$. The first border closure of $\mathcal{O}$ is defined as the set $\mathcal{O} \cup \partial\mathcal{O}$ and it is denoted by $\overline{\partial\mathcal{O}}$.

Note that $\overline{\partial\mathcal{O}}$ is also an order ideal. By convention, for $\mathcal{O} = \emptyset$ we set $\partial\mathcal{O} = \{1\}$.

Definition 2.8. Let $\mathcal{O} = \{x^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, x^{\alpha_s}\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$ be an order ideal, and let $\partial\mathcal{O} = \{x^{\beta_1}, \ldots, x^{\beta_t}\}$ be its border. A set of polynomials $\mathrm{B} = \{b_1, \ldots, b_t\} \subseteq \Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is called an $\mathcal{O}$-border prebasis if the polynomials have the form

$$b_j = x^{\beta_j} - \sum_{i=1}^{s} c_{ij} x^{\alpha_i} \ ,$$

where $c_{ij} \in \Bbbk$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $1 \leq j \leq t$.

Definition 2.9. Let $\mathcal{O} = \{x^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, x^{\alpha_s}\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$ be an order ideal and $B = \{b_1, \ldots, b_t\}$ be an $\mathcal{O}$-border prebasis consisting of polynomials in $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. We say that the set B is an $\mathcal{O}$-border basis of $\mathfrak{a}$ if the residue classes of $x^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, x^{\alpha_s}$ form a $\Bbbk$-vector space basis of $\Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$.

3. Macaulay-Buchberger Basis Theorem: Free Case

From now on we look at polynomial rings where the coefficients come from Noetherian, commutative rings. Unlike in polynomial rings over fields, here, the distinction between monomials and terms is more marked. Consider a Noetherian, commutative ring, A and an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. Using Gröbner basis methods one can determine an A-module representation of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ if it is finitely generated and use that to extend Macaulay-Buchberger basis theorem to a residue class polynomial ring that has a free A-module representation (Francis & Dukkipati, 2014). We describe this briefly below.

Let $G = \{g_i : i = 1, \ldots, t\}$ be a Gröbner basis for $\mathfrak{a}$. For each monomial, x^α, let $J_{x^\alpha} = \{i : \mathrm{lm}(g_i) \mid x^\alpha, g_i \in G\}$ and $I_{J_{x^\alpha}} = \langle\{\mathrm{lc}(g_i) : i \in J_{x^\alpha}\}\rangle$. Note that $I_{J_{x^\alpha}}$ is an ideal in A. We refer to $I_{J_{x^\alpha}}$ as the leading coefficient ideal w.r.t. G. Let $C_{J_{x^\alpha}}$ represent a set of coset representatives of the equivalence classes in $A/I_{J_{x^\alpha}}$. Given a polynomial, $f \in A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, let $f = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i x^{\alpha_i} \bmod \langle G\rangle$, where $a_i \in A, i = 1, \ldots, m$. If $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\langle G\rangle$ is a finitely generated A-module of size m, then corresponding to the coset representatives, $C_{J_{x^{\alpha_1}}}, \ldots, C_{J_{x^{\alpha_m}}}$, there exists an A-module isomorphism,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\langle G\rangle &\longrightarrow A/I_{J_{x^{\alpha_1}}} \times \cdots \times A/I_{J_{x^{\alpha_m}}} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i x^{\alpha_i} + \langle G\rangle &\longmapsto (c_1 + I_{J_{x^{\alpha_1}}}, \cdots, c_m + I_{J_{x^{\alpha_m}}}), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $c_i = a_i \bmod I_{J_{x^{\alpha_i}}}$ and $c_i \in C_{J_{x^{\alpha_i}}}$. We refer to $A/I_{J_{x^{\alpha_1}}} \times \cdots \times A/I_{J_{x^{\alpha_m}}}$ as the A-module representation of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ w.r.t. G. If $I_{J_{x^{\alpha_i}}} = \{0\}$, we have $C_{J_{x^{\alpha_i}}} = A$, for all $i = 1, \ldots, m$. This implies $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a} \cong A^m$, i.e. $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ has an A-module basis and it is free. We say that $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ has a free A-module representation w.r.t. G. We have the following sufficient condition for an A-module $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ to have a free A-module representation..

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a nonzero ideal. Let G be a Gröbner basis for $\mathfrak{a}$ w.r.t. a monomial order. If G is monic then $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is free.*

As noted in Section 2, the coefficient ring A is always assumed to be a commutative, Noetherian ring with unity. The following example illustrates how the concept of a free A module cannot be extended to a ring without unity.

Example 3.2. Let $A = 2\mathbb{Z}$. No ideal in $(2\mathbb{Z})[x, y]$ can generate a free residue class polynomial ring. Consider for example the ideal $\mathfrak{a} = \langle 2x, 2y\rangle \subseteq (2\mathbb{Z})[x, y]$. Its residue class polynomial ring, $(2\mathbb{Z})[x, y]/\mathfrak{a}$ is generated by the infinite set $S = \{2(2n + 1) + \mathfrak{a}, 2(2n + 1)x + \mathfrak{a}, 2(2n + 1)y + \mathfrak{a}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. It is not free. There is more than one representation for the element, $0 + \mathfrak{a} = 2 \times (6x + \mathfrak{a})$.

The necessary condition for a finitely generated $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ to have a free A-module representation w.r.t. some monomial order makes use of the concept of 'short reduced Gröbner basis', which we describe below. We then state the necessary condition.

Definition 3.3 (Francis & Dukkipati (2014))**.** Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be an ideal and G be its reduced Gröbner basis as described in (Pauer, 2007). Consider the isomorphism in (1).G is called a short reduced Gröbner basis if the size of the generating set of the leading coefficient ideal, $I_{J_{x^\alpha}}$, of each leading monomial, x^α in G, is minimal.

Theorem 3.4 (Francis & Dukkipati (2014))**.** *Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a nonzero ideal and let G be a short reduced Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{a}$. If $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ has a free A-module representation w.r.t. G, then G is monic.*

Example 3.5. Let $G = \{3x, 5x, y\}$ be the Gröbner basis of an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$. The short reduced Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{a}$ is given by $G_{\text{red}} = \{x, y\}$. It is monic and the $\mathbb{Z}$-module basis of $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]/\mathfrak{a}$ is given by $\{1 + \mathfrak{a}\}$.

Example 3.6. Consider the ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ generated by $G = \{3x^2, x^3, y\}$. G is a short reduced Gröbner basis and it is nonmonic. The $\mathbb{Z}$-module generated by $\{x^2+\mathfrak{a}\}$ is the nontrivial torsion submodule of $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]/\mathfrak{a}$.

In (Francis & Dukkipati, 2014) the Macaulay-Buchberger basis theorem has been extended to the case of rings when the residue class polynomial ring has a free A-module representation w.r.t. some monomial order. These results also give an algorithmic method to determine the A-module basis of such residue class polynomial rings.

Theorem 3.7 (Macaulay-Buchberger basis theorem over rings)**.** *Let $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_t\}$ be a short reduced Gröbner basis for an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. Suppose G is monic then an A-module basis for $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is given by $\{x^\alpha + \mathfrak{a} : \text{Lm}(g_i) \nmid x^\alpha, i = 1, \ldots, t\}$.*

Note that the above result does not require that the A-module, $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ be finitely generated.

As shown in (Francis & Dukkipati, 2014) the concept of border bases can be extended to polynomial rings over rings, in the cases when the corresponding residue class rings are finitely generated and has a free A-module representation w.r.t. some monomial order. One can show that all the characterizations in (Kehrein & Kreuzer,

2005) hold true when the residue class ring is free. For the sake of completeness, we state the definition of border bases in this case below.

Definition 3.8. Let $\mathcal{O} = \{x^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, x^{\alpha_s}\}$ be an order ideal and $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \ldots, b_t\} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be an $\mathcal{O}$-border prebasis. Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be an ideal such that $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is finitely generated and is a free A-module. Then $\mathcal{B}$ is said to be an $\mathcal{O}$-border basis if $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathcal{O}$ forms an A-module basis for $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$.

4. Macaulay-Buchberger Basis Theorem for Residue Class Rings with Torsion

Given an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ we study generators of the residue class ring, $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$, in the case when it does not have a free A-module representation. That is, the leading coefficients of polynomials in the short reduced Gröbner basis need not be monic. Through out this section we assume a monomial order $\prec$.

First, we state the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be an ideal and $\langle \mathrm{Lt}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle$ be the leading term ideal. Let $T = \{ax^\alpha \in \mathrm{Ter}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]) : ax^\alpha \notin \langle \mathrm{Lt}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle\}$. Then $T + \mathfrak{a}$ generates $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ as an A-module.*

Proof. Let $\mathrm{Span}_A(T+\mathfrak{a})$ denote the A-module generated by $T+\mathfrak{a}$. For a contradiction, suppose that $\mathrm{Span}_A(T+\mathfrak{a}) \subsetneq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$. Then the set $N = \{f \in A[x_1, \ldots, x_n] : f+\mathfrak{a} \notin \mathrm{Span}_A(T+\mathfrak{a})\}$ is nonempty and contains nonzero elements. Then there exists a $f_0 \in N$ such that $\mathrm{Lm}(f_0)$ is minimal in the set $\{\mathrm{Lm}(f) : f \in N\}$, by the well ordering property of monomial order. If $\mathrm{Lt}(f_0) \in T$, then $(f_0 - \mathrm{Lt}(f_0)) + \mathfrak{a} \notin \mathrm{Span}_A(T + \mathfrak{a})$. This implies that the polynomial $f_0 - \mathrm{Lt}(f_0)$ of smaller leading term than f_0 is an element of N, which is a contradiction. If $\mathrm{Lt}(f_0) \in \langle \mathrm{Lt}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle$ then there exists an element $f' \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that $\mathrm{Lt}(f') = \mathrm{Lt}(f_0)$. Consider $f_0 - f'$. It lies in N and has a smaller leading term than f_0, a contradiction. □

Remark 4.2. The proof of the above lemma goes exactly as in the case of fields. But it is worth noting the following. In the case of fields, *i.e.*, $A = \Bbbk$, $\mathrm{Lt}(f) \in \langle \mathrm{Lt}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle$ for some $f \in \Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ implies that there exists $f_1 \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that $\mathrm{Lt}(f_1) \mid \mathrm{Lt}(f)$. On the other hand in the case of rings, $\mathrm{Lt}(f) \in \langle \mathrm{Lt}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle$ for some $f \in A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ implies there exists $f_1, \ldots, f_s \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that $\mathrm{Lm}(f_i) \mid \mathrm{Lm}(f)$ and $\mathrm{Lc}(f) \in \langle \mathrm{Lc}(f_i) : i = 1, \ldots, s \rangle$. This is because in rings, $\langle \mathrm{Lt}(G) \rangle = \langle \mathrm{Lt}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle$ and for any $f \in \mathfrak{a}$, $\mathrm{Lt}(f) = \sum \mathrm{Lt}(h_i)\mathrm{Lt}(g_i)$ where the sum is over all i satisfying $\mathrm{Lm}(f) = \mathrm{Lm}(h_i)\mathrm{Lm}(g_i)$. Also in fields, there exists only one $f_0 \in N$ such that $\mathrm{Lm}(f_0)$ is minimal in the set $\{\mathrm{Lm}(f) : f \in N\}$. Whereas in rings, there could be more than one choice for f_0 such that $\mathrm{Lm}(f_0)$ is minimal. But each of these polynomials will have a different leading coefficient.

Example 4.3. Let $G = \{3x, x^2, y\}$ be the Gröbner basis of an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$. The leading term ideal, $\langle \mathrm{Lt}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle = \langle G \rangle$. Let the set of terms, $T \subseteq \mathrm{Ter}(\mathbb{Z}[x, y])$ be as defined in Lemma 4.1. The set $T + \mathfrak{a} = \{1 + \mathfrak{a}, 1x + \mathfrak{a}\}$ generates $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]/\mathfrak{a}$ as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module.

In the case of fields the standard monomials form a vector space basis for the residue class polynomial ring. Here we introduce a similar notion.

Definition 4.4 (Weak Standard Monomials)**.** Given an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, $\text{Mon}\{ax^\alpha \mid ax^\alpha \notin \langle \text{Lt}(\mathfrak{a})\rangle\}$ is called the set of weak standard monomials of $\mathfrak{a}$.

Example 4.5. In Example 4.3, $\text{Mon}(T) = \{1, x\}$ is the set of weak standard monomials of $\mathfrak{a} = \langle 3x, x^2, y\rangle$.

Therefore, Lemma 4.1 can also be written as follows.

Lemma 4.6. *The residue classes of the weak standard monomials of an ideal* $\mathfrak{a}$ *generate* $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ *as an* A*-module.*

In Section 3, we have seen that coefficients play an important role in computational ideal theory over rings. Here we introduce a structure on monomials called coefficient ideal mapping that will help us in generalizing Macaulay-Buchberger basis theorem and in defining border bases over rings.

Definition 4.7 (Coefficient Ideal Mapping)**.** Let $\text{Id}(A)$ be the set of all ideals in the ring, A. A mapping $\mathcal{I} : \mathbb{N}^n \longrightarrow \text{Id}(A)$ is said to be a coefficient ideal mapping if $x^\beta \mid x^\alpha$ implies $\mathcal{I}(x^\beta) \subseteq \mathcal{I}(x^\alpha)$, for all $x^\alpha, x^\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$. From now on we denote $\mathcal{I}(x^\alpha)$ by $\mathcal{I}_{x^\alpha}$.

Clearly, the Gröbner basis of an ideal fixes a coefficient ideal mapping. Consider the leading coefficient ideal, $I_{J_{x^\alpha}}$ that we constructed in Section 3 w.r.t. G. Since J_{x^α} is a saturated set, the mapping $x^\alpha \mapsto I_{J_{x^\alpha}}$ is a coefficient ideal mapping, which is denoted by $\mathcal{I}^{(G)}$.

Definition 4.8. A coefficient ideal mapping $\mathcal{I} : \mathbb{N}^n \to \text{Id}(A)$ is said to be proper if it maps only finitely many monomials to the proper ideals in A.

Example 4.9. Consider the mapping $\mathcal{I} : \mathbb{N}^2 \longrightarrow \text{Id}(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $\mathcal{I}(1) = \{0\}, \mathcal{I}(x_1) = \langle 3\rangle$ and every other monomial be mapped to $\langle 1\rangle$. We see that for any $x^\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2$ such that $x_1 \mid x^\alpha$, $\langle 3\rangle \subseteq \mathcal{I}(x^\alpha)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{I}$ is a proper coefficient ideal mapping.

Using the coefficient ideal mapping we define a generating set for $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ that also satisfies a weaker form of the linear independence property.

Definition 4.10 (Weak basis)**.** Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. Let B be a set of monomials, possibly infinite, and let $\mathcal{I} : \mathbb{N}^n \mapsto \text{Id}(A)$ be a coefficient ideal mapping such that $x^\alpha \notin B$ if and only if $\mathcal{I}_{x^\alpha} = \langle 1\rangle$. We say that the set of residue classes of B forms a weak basis for $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ w.r.t. $\mathcal{I}$ if it satisfies the following properties.
(i) $B + \mathfrak{a}$ generates $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ as an A-module.
(ii)if $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i x^{\alpha_i} + \mathfrak{a} = 0$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $x^{\alpha_i} \in B$ and $a_i \neq 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, then for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, $a_j \in \mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_j}}$.
(iii) Assume there exists another coefficient ideal mapping $\mathcal{I}' : \mathbb{N}^n \mapsto \text{Id}(A)$ such that for any $x^\alpha \in \text{Mon}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n])$, either $\mathcal{I}'_{x^\alpha} \subsetneq \mathcal{I}_{x^\alpha}$ or $\mathcal{I}'_{x^\alpha} = \mathcal{I}_{x^\alpha}$. There should not exist a set $B' = \{x^\alpha : \mathcal{I}'_{x^\alpha} \neq \langle 1\rangle\}$ such that B' satisfies (i) and (ii) w.r.t. $\mathcal{I}'$.

Remark 4.11. The linear independence property requires that if $\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i x^{\alpha_i} + \mathfrak{a} = 0$ then for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, $a_i \in \mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_i}}$. Therefore, the second condition in Definition 4.10 is a weaker form of the linear independence property. In fact, in the case of fields and residue class polynomial rings with a free A-module representation, the second condition automatically implies the linear independence property.

We now give below a generalization of Macaulay-Buchberger theorem for residue class polynomial rings over rings.

Theorem 4.12 (Generalized MB-basis theorem)**.** *Let $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_t\}$ be a Gröbner basis of an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and $\mathcal{I}^{(G)}$ the coefficient ideal mapping fixed by G. We have the following properties.*
(i) The set $\mathcal{B} = \{x^\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n : {\mathcal{I}^{(G)}}_{x^\alpha} \neq \langle 1 \rangle\}$ is the set of weak standard monomials of $\mathfrak{a}$.
(ii) The set of residue classes of $\mathcal{B}$ forms a weak basis (Definition 4.10) for the A-module, $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$.

Proof. (i) Let $\mathcal{B}' = \{x^\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n : ax^\alpha \notin \langle \mathrm{Lt}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle \text{ for some } a \in A\}$ be the set of weak standard monomials of $\mathfrak{a}$. We have to prove that $\mathrm{Span}_A(\mathcal{B} + \mathfrak{a}) = \mathrm{Span}_A(\mathcal{B}' + \mathfrak{a})$. Let $f + \mathfrak{a} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i x^{\alpha_i} + \mathfrak{a}$, $a_i \in A$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and ${\mathcal{I}^{(G)}}_{x^{\alpha_i}} \neq \langle 1 \rangle$. For each $x^{\alpha_i} \in \mathrm{Mon}(\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i x^{\alpha_i})$, we have two cases.

(a) ${\mathcal{I}^{(G)}}_{x^{\alpha_i}} = \{0\}$. This implies there is no $g_j \in G$ such that $\mathrm{Lm}(g_j) \mid x^{\alpha_i}$. This means $a_i x^{\alpha_i} \notin \langle \mathrm{Lt}(G) \rangle = \langle \mathrm{Lt}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle$.
(b) ${\mathcal{I}^{(G)}}_{x^{\alpha_i}} \neq \{0\}$. This implies there is atleast one $g_j \in G$ such that $\mathrm{Lm}(g_j) \mid x^{\alpha_i}$. But we have ${\mathcal{I}^{(G)}}_{x^{\alpha_i}} \neq \langle 1 \rangle$, therefore there exists some $b \in A$ such that $b \notin {\mathcal{I}^{(G)}}_{x^{\alpha_i}}$. This means $bx^{\alpha_i} \notin \langle \mathrm{Lt}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle$.

In both the cases, $x^{\alpha_i} + \mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{B}' + \mathfrak{a}$. Therefore, $\mathrm{Span}_A(\mathcal{B} + \mathfrak{a}) \subseteq \mathrm{Span}_A(\mathcal{B}' + \mathfrak{a})$.

To prove the opposite inclusion, let $f + \mathfrak{a} \in \mathrm{Span}_A(\mathcal{B}' + \mathfrak{a})$. Let $f + \mathfrak{a} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i x^{\alpha_i} + \mathfrak{a}$ where $a_i x^{\alpha_i} \notin \langle \mathrm{Lt}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. Since $a_i x^{\alpha_i} \notin \langle \mathrm{Lt}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle$, we have for each x^{α_i}, either $x^{\alpha_i} \notin \langle \mathrm{Lm}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle$ or $x^{\alpha_i} \in \langle \mathrm{Lm}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle$ but $a_i x^{\alpha_i} \notin \langle \mathrm{Lt}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle$. We deal with the two cases seperately.

(a) $x^{\alpha_i} \notin \langle \mathrm{Lm}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle$. This means $x^{\alpha_i} \notin \langle \mathrm{Lm}(G) \rangle$ and ${\mathcal{I}^{(G)}}_{x^{\alpha_i}} = \{0\}$. Therefore ${\mathcal{I}^{(G)}}_{x^{\alpha_i}} \neq \langle 1 \rangle$.
(b) $x^{\alpha_i} \in \langle \mathrm{Lm}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle$ and $a_i x^{\alpha_i} \notin \langle \mathrm{Lt}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle$. This implies $a_i x^{\alpha_i} \notin \langle \mathrm{Lt}(G) \rangle$. Therefore we have $a_i \notin {\mathcal{I}^{(G)}}_{x^{\alpha_i}}$ and ${\mathcal{I}^{(G)}}_{x^{\alpha_i}} \neq \langle 1 \rangle$.

Therefore, $\mathrm{Span}_A(\mathcal{B}' + \mathfrak{a}) \subseteq \mathrm{Span}_A(\mathcal{B} + \mathfrak{a})$.

(ii) From Lemma 4.6, we have that $\mathcal{B}' + \mathfrak{a}$ generates $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ as an A-module and from (i) we have that $\mathrm{Span}_A(\mathcal{B} + \mathfrak{a}) = \mathrm{Span}_A(\mathcal{B}' + \mathfrak{a})$. Therefore $\mathcal{B} + \mathfrak{a}$ generates $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ as an A-module. Let $\sum_{i=1}^{s} a_i x^{\alpha_i} + \mathfrak{a} = 0$, where $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_i x^{\alpha_i} + \mathfrak{a} \in \mathrm{Span}_A(\mathcal{B} + \mathfrak{a})$. This implies that $\sum_{i=1}^{s} a_i x^{\alpha_i} \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathrm{Lt}(\sum_{i=1}^{s} a_i x^{\alpha_i}) \in \langle \mathrm{Lt}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle$. Assume that for each a_i, $i = 1, \ldots, s$, $a_i \notin {\mathcal{I}^{(G)}}_{x^{\alpha_i}}$. This implies for each $a_i x^{\alpha_i}$, there exist no $g_1, \ldots, g_m \in G, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \leq t$ such that $\mathrm{Lm}(g_j) \mid x^{\alpha_i}$ for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ and $a_i \in \langle \mathrm{Lc}(g_i) : i \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \rangle$. That is $a_i x^{\alpha_i} \notin \langle \mathrm{Lt}(G) \rangle = \langle \mathrm{Lt}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle$

for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$. We have a contradiction. Hence $\sum_{i=1}^{s} a_i x^{\alpha_i} + \mathfrak{a} = 0$ implies for some i, $a_i \in \mathcal{I}^{(G)}{}_{x^{\alpha_i}}$.

Consider a coefficient ideal mapping, $\mathcal{I}^{'}$ such that for any $x^\alpha \in \mathrm{Mon}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n])$, either $\mathcal{I}^{'}_{x^\alpha} \subsetneq \mathcal{I}^{(G)}_{x^\alpha}$ or $\mathcal{I}^{'}_{x^\alpha} = \mathcal{I}^{(G)}_{x^\alpha}$. Assume $B^{'} = \{x^\alpha : \mathcal{I}^{'}_{x^\alpha} \neq \langle 1 \rangle\}$ satisfies (i) and (ii) w.r.t. $\mathcal{I}^{'}$. Consider the ideal, $\mathfrak{A} = \langle c_\alpha x^\alpha : c_\alpha \in \mathcal{I}^{'}_{x^\alpha} \rangle$. The ideal, $\mathfrak{A} \subsetneq \langle \mathrm{Lt}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle$ since $\mathcal{I}_{x^\alpha} \subsetneq \mathcal{I}^{'}_{x^\alpha}$ for some x^α. Consider $c_\beta x^\beta \in \langle \mathrm{Lt}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle \setminus \mathfrak{A}$. Let us denote the normal form of $c_\beta x^\beta$ w.r.t. G as h. Then each non zero term in h is of the form $d_\gamma x^\gamma$ such that $d_\gamma \in A/\mathcal{I}^{(G)}_{x^\gamma}$ and $x^\gamma \in \mathcal{B}$. Since $d_\gamma \notin \mathcal{I}^{(G)}_{x^\gamma}$, it is not an element of $\mathcal{I}^{'}_{x^\gamma}$. Also, $c_\beta \notin \mathcal{I}^{'}_{x^\beta}$. Therefore, $B^{'}$ fails to satisfy Condition (ii) of the definition of weak basis for $c_\beta x^\beta - h$ and therefore we have a contradiction. Thus the set $\mathcal{B} + \mathfrak{a}$ forms a weak basis for the A - module $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$. □

Example 4.13. Let $\mathfrak{a} = \langle 3x, 4y + 2x, y^2 \rangle$ be an ideal in $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$. Then $G = \{3x, 4y + 2x, y^2\}$ is a short reduced Gröbner basis w.r.t. lex order with $x \prec y$. Since G is not monic, $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]/\mathfrak{a}$ has a nontrivial torsion submodule. It is also not finitely generated as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module. The leading coefficient ideals calculated w.r.t. G are as follows : $I_1 = \{0\}$, $I_{x^m} = \langle 3 \rangle, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $I_y = \langle 4 \rangle$, $I_{xy} = \langle 3, 4 \rangle = \langle 1 \rangle$ and for all the other monomials, the ideal is equal to $\langle 1 \rangle$. Consider the coefficient ideal mapping fixed by G, $\mathcal{I}^{(G)}{}_{x^\alpha y^\beta} = I_{x^\alpha y^\beta}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$. The set $\mathcal{B} = \{x^\alpha y^\beta : \mathcal{I}^{(G)}{}_{x^\alpha y^\beta} \neq \langle 1 \rangle\}$ is an infinite set given by $\mathcal{B} = \{1, y, x^m, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\mathcal{B} + \mathfrak{a}$ forms a weak basis of $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]/\mathfrak{a}$.

Remark 4.14. Let us consider two special cases.

- **Case (i):** $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ has a free A-module representation w.r.t. some monomial order. The coefficient ideal mapping maps the monomials to either $\{0\}$ or $\langle 1 \rangle$. If the residue class ring is finitely generated then only finitely many monomials will map to ϕ.
- **Case (ii):** $A = \Bbbk$. The case is the same as above since in a field the only possible ideals are $\{0\}$ and $\langle 1 \rangle$.

5. Finitely Generated Residue Class Rings with Torsion

From the observations we have made above, it is easy to see that when the residue class ring, $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is finitely generated the structure satisfies the following additional properties.

Corollary 5.1. *Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be an ideal such that $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is finitely generated. Let G be a Gröbner basis of an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathcal{I}^{(G)}$ the coefficient ideal mapping fixed by G. Then,*

(1) The coefficient ideal mapping $\mathcal{I}^{(G)}$ is proper,
(2) The set of weak standard monomials w.r.t $\mathfrak{a}$ is finite, and
(3) $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ has a finite weak basis.

As we mentioned earlier, short reduced Gröbner basis can be used to characterize residue class polynomial rings that are finitely generated and have a free A-module representation w.r.t. a monomial order. Here we use short reduced Gröbner basis to characterize ideals that give rise to finitely generated residue class polynomial rings.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and let $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_t\}$ be a short reduced Gröbner basis for $\mathfrak{a}$. The following statements are equivalent.*

(i) For each $i = 1, \ldots, n$ there exists $j \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$ such that $\mathrm{Lt}(g_j) = {x_i}^{\nu}$ for some $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$.
(ii) The A-module $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is finitely generated.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Since for every $i = 1, \ldots, n$ there exists $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\mathrm{Lt}(g_i) = {x_i}^{\nu}$ for some $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, there are only finitely many power products which are reduced w.r.t. G and hence $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is finitely generated.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Assume $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is finitely generated and for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ there exists no $j \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$ such that $\mathrm{Lt}(g_j) = {x_i}^{\nu}$, for some $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. There are two cases here.

(i) There exists no $j \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$ such that $\mathrm{Lm}(g_j) = {x_i}^{\nu}$, for some $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the powers of x_i, $1, x_i, {x_i}^2, \ldots$ are reduced w.r.t. G and $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is not finitely generated as an A-module, a contradiction.
(ii) There exists at least one $j \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$ such that $\mathrm{Lm}(g_j) = {x_i}^{\nu}$, for some $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. Among the leading monomials of elements in G that are powers of x_i, let ${x_i}^{\alpha}$ denote the monomial of highest degree and let $g_j \in G$ be the corresponding element in the basis. Consider the leading coefficient ideal of ${x_i}^{\alpha}$, $I_{{x_i}^{\alpha}}$. Since $\mathrm{Lt}(g_j) \neq {x_i}^{\alpha}$ and G is a short reduced Gröbner basis, we have $I_{{x_i}^{\alpha}} \neq \langle 1 \rangle$. Consider the set of coset representatives of $A/I_{{x_i}^{\alpha}}$, $C_{I_{{x_i}^{\alpha}}}$. Let $c \in C_{I_{{x_i}^{\alpha}}}$. Then $1, cx_i, c{x_i}^2, \ldots$ are reduced w.r.t. G and $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is not finitely generated as an A-module, a contradiction.

□

Definition 5.3 (Weak$^+$ basis)**.** Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. Let $\mathcal{I} : \mathbb{N}^n \mapsto \mathrm{Id}(A)$ be a proper coefficient ideal mapping and B be a finite set of monomials of size k such that $x^{\alpha} \notin B$ if and only if $\mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha}} = \langle 1 \rangle$. Let $C_{\mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha}}}$ be the coset representatives of the equivalence classes of $A/\mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha}}$. Then we say the set of residue classes of B forms a weak$^+$ basis for $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ with respect to $\mathcal{I}$ if it satisfies the following properties.

(i) $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a} = \{\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i x^{\alpha_i} + \mathfrak{a} \mid a_i \in C_{\mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_i}}}\}$,
(ii) if $\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i x^{\alpha_i} + \mathfrak{a} = 0$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $x^{\alpha_i} \in B$ and $a_i \neq 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, then for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, $a_j \in \mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_j}}$, and
(iii) Assume there exists another coefficient ideal mapping $\mathcal{I}' : \mathbb{N}^n \mapsto \mathrm{Id}(A)$ such that for any $x^{\alpha} \in \mathrm{Mon}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n])$, either $\mathcal{I}'_{x^{\alpha}} \subsetneq \mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha}}$ or $\mathcal{I}'_{x^{\alpha}} = \mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha}}$. There should not exist a set $B' = \{x^{\alpha} : \mathcal{I}'_{x^{\alpha}} \neq \langle 1 \rangle\}$ such that B' satisfies (i) and (ii) w.r.t. $\mathcal{I}'$.

Remark 5.4. It must be noted that if the set of residue classes of B forms a weak$^+$ basis for the A-module $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$, then it is also a weak basis for the same. But the opposite inclusion is not necessarily true.

Corollary 5.5. *Let $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_t\}$ be a Gröbner basis of an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ such that $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is finitely generated, and $\mathcal{I}^{(G)}$ the coefficient ideal mapping*

fixed by G. Let B be a finite set of monomials of size k such that if $x^\alpha \notin B$, $\mathcal{I}_{x^\alpha} = \langle 1 \rangle$. Then the set of residue classes of $\mathcal{B}$ forms a weak$^+$ basis for the A - module, $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$.

Proof. The equality,

$$A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a} = \{\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i x^{\alpha_i} + \mathfrak{a} \mid a_i \in C_{\mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_i}}}, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

follows directly from the proof of Thereom 4.3.3. in (Adams & Loustaunau, 1994). The proof that the second and third conditions of Definition 5.3 hold is along the same lines as the proof of Theorem 4.12. □

Let us look at an example for the above corollary. It is similar to Example 4.13 except that the $\mathbb{Z}$-module is finitely generated.

Example 5.6. Let $\mathfrak{a} = \langle 3x, 4y+2x, x^2, y^2 \rangle$ be an ideal in $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$. Then $G = \{3x, 4y+2x, x^2, y^2\}$ is a short reduced Gröbner basis w.r.t. lex order with $x \prec y$. The $\mathbb{Z}$-module, $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]/\mathfrak{a}$, is finitely generated as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module. The leading coefficient ideals calculated w.r.t. G are as follows : $I_1 = \{0\}$, $I_x = \langle 3 \rangle$, $I_y = \langle 4 \rangle$, $I_{xy} = \langle 3, 4 \rangle = \langle 1 \rangle$ and for all the other monomials, $I_{x^\alpha y^\beta} = \langle 1 \rangle$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the coefficient ideal mapping fixed by G, $\mathcal{I}^{(G)}{}_{x^\alpha y^\beta} = I_{x^\alpha y^\beta}$. Let us fix the set of coset representatives as $C_{\mathcal{I}_1} = \mathbb{Z}$, $C_{\mathcal{I}_x} = \{1, 2\}$, $C_{\mathcal{I}_y} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and for all the other monomials, $C_{\mathcal{I}_{x^\alpha}} = \{0\}$. The set $\mathcal{B} = \{x^\alpha y^\beta : \mathcal{I}^{(G)}{}_{x^\alpha y^\beta} \neq \langle 1 \rangle\}$ is a finite set given by $\mathcal{B} = \{1, y, x\}$. The set $\mathcal{B}+\mathfrak{a}$ satisfies all the conditions of Definition 5.3 w.r.t. the set of coset representatives, $C_{\mathcal{I}_{x^\alpha}}$ and therefore forms a weak$^+$ basis for $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]/\mathfrak{a}$.

We now provide a better interpretation of the mapping described by Equation 1 in terms of weak$^+$ basis.

Proposition 5.7. *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. Let $\mathcal{I} : \mathbb{N}^n \mapsto \mathrm{Id}(A)$ be a proper coefficient ideal mapping and B be a finite set of monomials of size k such that if $x^\alpha \notin B$, $\mathcal{I}_{x^\alpha} = \langle 1 \rangle$. Consider a mapping,*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a} &\longrightarrow A/\mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_1}} \times \cdots \times A/\mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_k}} \\ f + \mathfrak{a} &\longmapsto (c_1 + \mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_1}}, \cdots, c_k + \mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_k}}), \end{aligned}$$

where $f \in A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and $c_i \in C_{\mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_i}}}$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. Then, ϕ is an isomorphism if B forms a weak$^+$ basis for $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$.

Proof. Let us assume that B forms a weak$^+$ basis for $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$. We first show that the mapping ϕ is well defined. Consider a polynomial $f \in A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. Suppose

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(f + \mathfrak{a}) &= (c_1 + \mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_1}}, \cdots, c_k + \mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_k}}) \text{ and} \\ \phi(f + \mathfrak{a}) &= (c'_1 + \mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_1}}, \cdots, c'_k + \mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_k}}), \end{aligned}$$

where c_i, $c'_i \in C_{\mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_i}}}$, for $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. This implies $(c_i - c'_i) \in \mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_i}}$. Since the difference of two different coset representatives cannot give the zero coset, we have $c_i = c'_i$ for all i s. Thus ϕ is well defined.

Clearly, ϕ is a surjective map by construction. We now have to prove that ϕ is an injective mapping. Consider a polynomial $f \in A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ such that $\phi(f + \mathfrak{a}) = (0, \ldots, 0)$. Let us assume that $f \notin \mathfrak{a}$. Since B forms a weak$^+$ basis for $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$, we can obtain $c_i s \in C_{\mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_i}}}$ such that $f = \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i x^{\alpha_i} \bmod \mathfrak{a}$. Further, atleast one of the c_is is nonzero. This implies that $\phi(f + \mathfrak{a})$ also maps to $(c_1, \ldots, c_k)$. Therefore ϕ is not a well defined mapping. This is a contradiction and $f \in \mathfrak{a}$. Thus, the kernel of ϕ, $\ker(\phi) = \{0 + \mathfrak{a}\}$. This implies that ϕ is an injective mapping. Hence, it follows that ϕ is an isomorphism. □

6. Order Ideals and Border Prebasis Division Algorithm

Given a Noetherian commutative ring A and $\mathcal{I}$ a proper coefficient ideal mapping, we define an order ideal w.r.t. $\mathcal{I}$.

Definition 6.1. For each $x^\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and a proper coefficient ideal mapping $\mathcal{I}$, let $C_{x^\alpha} \subseteq A$ represent a set of coset representatives of $A/\mathcal{I}_{x^\alpha}$. A set of terms $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathrm{Ter}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n])$ is said to be an order ideal w.r.t. $\mathcal{I}$ if for all $x^\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $cx^\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{I}$ if and only if $c \in C_{x^\alpha}$.

Note that for each monomial, x^α, one can choose any set of coset representatives of $A/\mathcal{I}_{x^\alpha}$ and with each choice we have a different order ideal.

Example 6.2. Consider the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ and let the mapping $\mathcal{I}$ be such that $\mathcal{I}_1 = \{0\}$, $\mathcal{I}_x = \langle 4 \rangle$, $\mathcal{I}_y = \langle 3 \rangle$, $\mathcal{I}_{x^2} = \langle 2 \rangle$ and the rest of the monomials map to $\langle 1 \rangle$. $\mathcal{I}$ is clearly a proper coefficient ideal mapping. Let the set of coset representatives be the following, $C_1 = \mathbb{Z}, C_x = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, C_y = \{0, 1, 2\}, C_{x^2} = \{0, 1\}$ and for all the other monomials, x^α, $C_{x^\alpha} = \{0\}$. Then, $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{I} = \{a_1, a_2 x, a_3 y, a_4 x^2 \mid a_1 \in C_1,\ a_2 \in C_x,\ a_3 \in C_y,\ a_4 \in C_{x^2}\}$ is an order ideal corresponding to $\mathcal{I}$.

Example 6.3. Now consider a polynomial ring $\Bbbk[u_1, u_2][x, y, z]$ and let $\mathcal{I}$ be a coefficient ideal mapping defined by $\mathcal{I}_1 = \{0\}$, $\mathcal{I}_x = \{0\}$, $\mathcal{I}_y = \langle u_1^2 \rangle$, $\mathcal{I}_z = \langle u_2^2 - 3u_1 \rangle$, $\mathcal{I}_{x^2} = \langle 0 \rangle$, $\mathcal{I}_{xy} = \langle u_1^2, u_2^2 - 1 \rangle$, $\mathcal{I}_{xz} = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$ and rest of the monomials mapping to $\langle 1 \rangle$. $\mathcal{I}$ is a proper coefficient ideal mapping. Let $C_1, C_x, C_y, C_z, C_{x^2}, C_{xy}, C_{xz}$ represent the nonzero set of coset representatives. Then $\mathcal{O}'_\mathcal{I} = \{a_1, a_2 x, a_3 y, a_4 z, a_5 x^2, a_6 xy, a_7 xz \mid a_1 \in C_1,\ a_2 \in C_x,\ a_3 \in C_y,\ a_4 \in C_z,\ a_5 \in C_{x^2},\ a_6 \in C_{xy},\ a_7 \in C_{xz}\}$ is an order ideal corresponding to $\mathcal{I}$.

In sequel we write order ideal $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{I}$ as $\mathcal{O}$ and we do not explicitly mention the mapping. Note that unlike in the case of fields, the order ideal in the case of polynomial rings over rings have both monic and nonmonic monomials.

Given an order ideal $\mathcal{O}$ we introduce two types of borders: a monomial border $\partial\mathcal{O}_m$ and a scalar border $\partial\mathcal{O}_s$.

Definition 6.4. Given an order ideal $\mathcal{O}$ the monomial border of $\mathcal{O}$ is defined as

$$\partial\mathcal{O}_m = \{x_1 \cdot \mathrm{Mon}(\mathcal{O}) \cup \ldots \cup x_n \cdot \mathrm{Mon}(\mathcal{O})\} \setminus \mathrm{Mon}(\mathcal{O}).$$

Definition 6.5. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an order ideal with respect to a proper coefficient ideal mapping, $\mathcal{I}$. For each x^α such that $\mathcal{I}_{x^\alpha} \neq \langle 1 \rangle$ define $\partial\mathcal{O}_{x^\alpha} = \{c_1 x^\alpha, \ldots, c_s x^\alpha\}$, where $\mathcal{I}_{x^\alpha} = \langle c_1, \ldots, c_s \rangle$ for some $c_1, \ldots, c_s \in A$. The scalar border of an order ideal is defined as

$$\partial\mathcal{O}_s = \bigcup_{\substack{x^\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \\ \mathcal{I}_{x^\alpha} \neq \langle 1 \rangle}} \partial\mathcal{O}_{x^\alpha}.$$

Definition 6.6. The border of the order ideal $\mathcal{O}$, denoted as $\partial\mathcal{O}$, is defined as $\partial\mathcal{O} = \partial\mathcal{O}_m \cup \partial\mathcal{O}_s$.

Example 6.7. Consider Example 6.2. The set of monic border terms that form the monomial border is $\partial\mathcal{O}_m = \{xy, y^2, x^3, x^2y\}$ and the scalar border is $\partial\mathcal{O}_s = \{4x, 3y, 2x^2\}$. Hence, the border of the order ideal is $\partial\mathcal{O} = \{xy, y^2, x^3, x^2y, 4x, 3y, 2x^2\}$.

Example 6.8. Consider Example 6.3. The monomials that form the monomial border is $\partial\mathcal{O'}_m = \{x^3, x^2y, x^2z, xy^2, xyz, xz^2, y^2, yz, z^2\}$ and the scalar border is the set $\partial\mathcal{O'}_s = \{u_1^2 y, (u_2^2 - 3u_1)z, u_1^2 xy, (u_2^2 - 1)xy, u_1 xz, u_2 xz\}$. Hence border of the order ideal is $\partial\mathcal{O'} = \{x^3, x^2y, x^2z, xy^2, xyz, xz^2, y^2, yz, z^2, u_1^2 y, (u_2^2 - 3u_1)z, u_1^2 xy, (u_2^2 - 1)xy, u_1 xz, u_2 xz\}$.

We define $\partial^0\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}$ and 0^{th} border closure as

$$\overline{\partial^0\mathcal{O}} = \{ax^\alpha \mid cx^\alpha \in \mathcal{O}, \text{ for some } c \in C_{x^\alpha}, c \neq 0 \text{ and } a \in c + \mathcal{I}_{x^\alpha}\}.$$

(Note that in the case fields these quantities are defined as (Kehrein & Kreuzer, 2006) $\partial^0\mathcal{O} = \overline{\partial^0\mathcal{O}} = \mathcal{O}$.)

It is also required to have the definition of border closures for the three cases $k = 0, k = 1$ and $k \gneq 1$ separately. We give the definitions for the remaining two cases below.

Definition 6.9. The first border closure $\overline{\partial\mathcal{O}}$ of an order ideal $\mathcal{O}$ is defined as

$$\overline{\partial\mathcal{O}} = \{ax^\alpha \mid \exists c \in A \text{ such that } cx^\alpha \in \mathcal{O} \cup \partial\mathcal{O}_s, a \in A \text{ or } x^\alpha \in \partial\mathcal{O}_m\}.$$

Proposition 6.10. *The first border closure, $\overline{\partial\mathcal{O}}$, of an order ideal, $\mathcal{O}$ is an order ideal.*

Proof. We fix $\mathcal{I}_{x^\alpha} = \{0\}$ for all $x^\alpha \in \overline{\partial\mathcal{O}}$. By Definition 6.9 three cases arises: $x^\alpha \in \partial\mathcal{O}_m$ or there exists $c \in A$ such that $cx^\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$ or $cx^\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_s$. Let $x^\alpha \in \partial\mathcal{O}_m$. Suppose $x^\beta | x^\alpha$ for some $x^\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$, then clearly, $x^\beta \in \text{Mon}(\mathcal{O}) \cup \partial\mathcal{O}_m$. If $x^\beta \in \text{Mon}(\mathcal{O})$, then there exists some $d \in C_{x^\beta}$ such that $dx^\beta \in \mathcal{O}$. Therefore, in either case $x^\beta \in \overline{\partial\mathcal{O}}$. In the second case, let $cx^\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$. Suppose $x^\beta | x^\alpha$ for some $x^\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$. By the closure property of $\mathcal{O}$, $dx^\beta \in \mathcal{O}$ for some $d \in C_{x^\beta}$. Therefore, $x^\beta \in \overline{\partial\mathcal{O}}$. In the third case, let $cx^\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_s$. Suppose $x^\beta | x^\alpha$ for some $x^\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$. This implies that x^β is in the monomial part of $\mathcal{O}$. Thus, $x^\beta \in \overline{\partial\mathcal{O}}$. □

The monomial part of the first border closure defined as the set of monomials in $\overline{\partial\mathcal{O}}$, is a finite set and it is represented as $\text{Mon}(\overline{\partial\mathcal{O}})$. Since $\mathcal{I}_{x^\alpha} = \{0\}$ for all $x^\alpha \in \text{Mon}(\overline{\partial\mathcal{O}})$, the scalar border for $k \geq 2$ is an empty set and one needs to consider only the monomial border.

Definition 6.11. The k^{th} border of an order ideal $\mathcal{O}$ for $k \gneq 1$ is defined as

$$\partial^k \mathcal{O} = \{x_1 \cdot \text{Mon}(\overline{\partial^{k-1}\mathcal{O}}) \cup \ldots \cup x_n \cdot \text{Mon}(\overline{\partial^{k-1}\mathcal{O}})\} \setminus \text{Mon}(\overline{\partial^{k-1}\mathcal{O}}),$$

where $\text{Mon}(\overline{\partial^{k-1}\mathcal{O}})$ is the monomial part of the $(k-1)^{th}$ border closure.

Definition 6.12. For $k \geq 2$, the k^{th} border closure of an order ideal is defined as

$$\overline{\partial^k \mathcal{O}} = \{ax^\alpha |\ a \in A, x^\alpha \in \partial^k \mathcal{O} \cup \overline{\partial^{k-1}\mathcal{O}_m}\}.$$

Example 6.13. Consider Example 6.2. The set of monic border terms that form the monomial border is $\partial\mathcal{O}_m = \{xy, y^2, x^3, x^2y\}$ and the scalar border is $\partial\mathcal{O}_s = \{4x, 3y, 2x^2\}$. The second border of the order ideal, $\mathcal{O}$, is the set, $\partial^2\mathcal{O} = \{xy^2, y^3, x^4, x^3y, x^2y^2\}$.

Example 6.14. Consider Example 6.3. The second border of the order ideal, $\mathcal{O}'$ is the set, $\partial^2\mathcal{O}' = \{y^3, y^2z, yz^2, z^3, x^4, x^3y, x^3z, x^2y^2, x^2yz, x^2z^2, xy^3, xyz^2, xy^2z, xz^3\}$.

Remark 6.15. The k^{th} border closure is an infinite set of terms for $k \geq 0$. Further, for $k \geq 1$, $\overline{\partial^k\mathcal{O}}$ is closed under division and hence the set of monomials corresponding to it, $\text{Mon}(\overline{\partial^k\mathcal{O}})$, mimics the case of fields.

We give below certain properties of order ideals, their borders and border closures. These properties are analogous to fields.

Proposition 6.16. *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an order ideal and $\partial\mathcal{O}_m$ be its monomial border. Then*

1. *For $k \geq 1$, the k^{th} monomial border closure of $\mathcal{O}$, $\text{Mon}(\overline{\partial^k\mathcal{O}})$ is the following disjoint union, $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{O}) \cup \partial\mathcal{O}_m \cup (\cup_{i=2}^k \partial^k\mathcal{O})$.*
2. *For $k \geq 1$, $\partial^k\mathcal{O}_m = \mathbb{N}_k^n \cdot \text{Mon}(\mathcal{O}) \setminus \mathbb{N}_{<k}^n \cdot \text{Mon}(\mathcal{O})$, where $\partial^k\mathcal{O}_m = \partial^k\mathcal{O}$ for $k \geq 2$.*
3. *A monomial, $x^\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ is divisible by $x^\beta \in \partial\mathcal{O}_m$ if and only if $x^\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \text{Mon}(\mathcal{O})$.*

Proof. (1) The proof is by induction on k. For $k = 1$, clearly the monomials in the first border closure are elements of the set $\mathcal{O}_m \cup \partial\mathcal{O}_m$. From the definition of monomial border of $\mathcal{O}$ we have that $\mathcal{O}_m$ and $\partial\mathcal{O}_m$ are disjoint. Suppose that the claim is true for the k^{th} monomial border closure. For $k+1$, $\overline{\partial^{k+1}\mathcal{O}_m} = \overline{\partial^k\mathcal{O}_m} \cup \partial^{k+1}\mathcal{O}$. It is easy to verify that the sets $\overline{\partial^k\mathcal{O}_m}$ and $\partial^{k+1}\mathcal{O}$ are disjoint.
(2) The claim follows from the observation that $\partial^k\mathcal{O} = \overline{\partial^k\mathcal{O}_m} \setminus \overline{\partial^{k-1}\mathcal{O}_m}$.
(3) We have $x^\beta \in \partial\mathcal{O}_m$. This implies that there exists $x^\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_m$ and an indeterminate x_{i_0} such that $x^\beta = x_{i_0}x^\gamma$. Now $x_{i_0}x^\gamma | x^\alpha$. If $x^\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_m$ then $x_{i_0}x^\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_m$ which is a contradiction. Now consider a monomial $x^\alpha \in \mathbb{T}^n \setminus \mathcal{O}$. Then, $x^\alpha \in \partial\mathcal{O}_m$ or $x^\alpha \in \partial^k\mathcal{O}$ for some $k \geq 2$. If $x^\alpha \in \partial^k\mathcal{O}$ that implies, there exists a monomial x^γ of degree $k-1$ and a $x^\beta \in \partial\mathcal{O}_m$ such that $x^\alpha = x^\gamma x^\beta$. The claim follows. □

Now we define some concepts that are essential for the division algorithm.

Definition 6.17. Index of a term cx^α, where $c \in A$ and $x^\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, w.r.t. an order ideal, $\mathcal{O}$ is defined as

$$\text{ind}_\mathcal{O}(cx^\alpha) = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid cx^\alpha \in \overline{\partial^k\mathcal{O}}\}.$$

Definition 6.18. Let $f \in A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be any nonzero polynomial with support, $\text{supp}(f)$, then index of f w.r.t. an order ideal, $\mathcal{O}$ is defined as

$$\text{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(f) = \max_{\alpha \in \text{supp}(f)} \text{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(c_\alpha x^\alpha).$$

Example 6.19. Consider Example 6.2. The set of monic border terms that form the monomial border is $\partial\mathcal{O}_m = \{xy, y^2, x^3, x^2y\}$ and the scalar border is $\partial\mathcal{O}_s = \{4x, 3y, 2x^2\}$. Then $\text{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(3x) = 0$, $\text{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(xy) = 1$ and $\text{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(xy^2 + 8x + 7y) = 2$.

Example 6.20. Consider Example 6.3. The monomials that form the monomial border is $\partial\mathcal{O'}_m = \{x^3, x^2y, x^2z, xy^2, xyz, xz^2, y^2, yz, z^2\}$ and the scalar border is the set $\partial\mathcal{O'}_s = \{u_1^2y, (u_2^2-3u_1)z, u_1^2xy, (u_2^2-1)xy, u_1xz, u_2xz\}$. Then $\text{ind}_{\mathcal{O}'}((3u_2+u_2)x) = 0$, $\text{ind}_{\mathcal{O}'}(u_1x^2y) = \text{ind}_{\mathcal{O}'}((u_1^2 + u_2)xz) = 1$ and $\text{ind}_{\mathcal{O}'}(xy^3 + 8x) = 2$.

For any polynomial, the terms of highest index are grouped together to form a border form analogous to the leading term in Gröbner bases theory. We define this below.

Definition 6.21. Let $f \in A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a nonzero polynomial such that the $\text{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(f) = i_0$. The border form of f w.r.t. $\mathcal{O}$ is defined as

$$\text{BF}_{\mathcal{O}}(f) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \text{supp}(f), c_\alpha \in A \\ \text{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(c_\alpha x^\alpha) = i_0}} c_\alpha x^\alpha \ ,$$

a polynomial in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.

Note that unlike leading term of a polynomial in Gröbner bases theory that is always a monomial, border form can be a polynomial. The concept of leading term ideal has an analogous form in border bases theory called the border form ideal.

Definition 6.22. The border form ideal of an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ w.r.t. an order ideal $\mathcal{O}$ is defined as

$$\text{BF}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{a}) = \langle \text{BF}_{\mathcal{O}}(f) \mid f \in \mathfrak{a} \rangle.$$

Example 6.23. Consider Example 6.2. Let $f = xy^2 + 2x^2y^2 + xy + 3x + 2$. Then the index of f w.r.t. the order ideal $\mathcal{O}$ is equal to 2. The border form of f is the polynomial, $\text{BF}_{\mathcal{O}}(f) = xy^2 + 2x^2y^2$.

Example 6.24. Consider Example 6.3. Let $f = 2xy^2 + (u_1 - u_2)xz + 3x + 2$. Then the index of f w.r.t. the order ideal $\mathcal{O}'$ is equal to 2. The border form of f is the polynomial, $\text{BF}_{\mathcal{O}'}(f) = 2xy^2 + (u_1 - u_2)xz$.

We now give the definition of border prebasis for an order ideal, $\mathcal{O}$.

Definition 6.25. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an order ideal, $\partial\mathcal{O} = \{c_1x^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, c_sx^{\alpha_s}\}$ its border and $C_{x^{\alpha_i}}$, the set of coset representatives of $A/\mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_i}}$. A finite set of polynomials $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_s\} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is said to be an $\mathcal{O}$-border prebasis if $g_i = c_ix^{\alpha_i} - h_i$, for $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$, where $h_i \in A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$, satisfying $\text{Ter}(h_i) \subseteq \mathcal{O} \setminus \{ax^{\alpha_i} \mid a \in C_{x^{\alpha_i}}\}$.

Note that unlike in fields, for a monomial in the border of $\mathcal{O}$, we can have more than one polynomial in the $\mathcal{O}$-border prebasis but only one polynomial corresponding to a term in the border. With the definition of $\mathcal{O}$-border prebasis, we now give a procedure for division of any polynomial in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ with the $\mathcal{O}$-border prebasis.

Algorithm 6.26. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an order ideal. Let $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{O}) = \{x^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, x^{\alpha_t}\}$ be its monomial part. Let $\partial\mathcal{O}_m = \{x^{\beta_1}, \ldots, x^{\beta_{s'}}\}$ and $\partial\mathcal{O}_s = \{c_{s'+1}x^{\beta_{s'+1}}, \ldots, c_s x^{\beta_s}\}$ be its monomial border and scalar border respectively. Let $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_s\} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be an $\mathcal{O}$-border prebasis. For $f \in A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ we perform the following instructions.

(1) Initialize $f_1 = \ldots = f_s = 0$, $a_1 = \ldots = a_t = 0$ and $h = f$.
(2) If $h = 0$ return $(f_1, \ldots, f_s, a_1, \ldots, a_t)$.
(3) If $\text{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(h) = 0$ then find $b_1, \ldots, b_t \in A$ such that $h = b_1 x^{\alpha_1} + \ldots + b_t x^{\alpha_t}$. Set $a_i = b_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq t$. Return $(f_1, \ldots, f_s, a_1, \ldots, a_t)$.
(4) If $\text{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(h) = 1$ and h contains a term dx^{β} such that $x^{\beta} \in \partial\mathcal{O}_m$ then goto Step 5. Else, let $h = d_1 x^{\gamma_1} + \ldots + d_j x^{\gamma_j}$ such that $\text{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(h) = \text{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(d_1 x^{\gamma_1})$ and $\text{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(d_1 x^{\gamma_1}) \geq \ldots \geq \text{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(d_j x^{\gamma_j})$. Find $b_{\mu+1}, \ldots, b_s \in A$ such that $d_1 x^{\gamma_1} = b_{s'+1}(c_{s'+1}x^{\beta_{s'+1}}) + \ldots + b_s(c_s x^{\beta_s})$. Subtract $b_{s'+1}g_{s'+1} + \ldots + b_s g_s$ from h, add b_i *to* f_i for $s' + 1 \leq i \leq s$ and return to Step 2.
(5) Else, let $h = d_1 x^{\gamma_1} + \ldots + d_j x^{\gamma_j}$ such that $\text{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(h) = \text{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(x^{\gamma_1})$ and $\text{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(d_1 x^{\gamma_1}) \geq \ldots \geq \text{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(d_j x^{\gamma_j})$. Determine $x^{\beta_i} \in \partial\mathcal{O}_m$ with the smallest i such that $x^{\gamma_i} = x^{\mu}x^{\beta_i}$ and $\deg(x^{\mu}) = \text{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(h) - 1$. Subtract $d_1 x^{\mu} g_i$ from h, add $d_1 x^{\mu}$ to f_i and return to Step 2.

This procedure over rings differs from the case of fields only in Step 4. This is because of the notion of scalar border that exists in the first border. The termination of the above method is not assured because of the possibility that for a given polynomial, f, a monomial in its support identified with index 0 in Step 3 may again have an index 1 after Step 4. Therefore, we cannot assume the reduction in index values at every step of the procedure.

7. Acyclic Border Prebases and Termination of Border Division Algorithm

Here, we identify a special class of $\mathcal{O}$-border prebases called acyclic $\mathcal{O}$-border prebases for which the termination of the border division algorithm is assured.

Definition 7.1. A $\mathcal{O}$-border prebasis $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_s\}$ is said to be acyclic if there exists a permutation of G, $\{g_{i_1}, \ldots, g_{i_s}\}$ such that for any g_{i_j}, g_{i_k}, where $j \lneq k$ exactly one of the following conditions are satisfied

(1) $c_j \,\text{BF}_{\mathcal{O}}(g_{i_j}) = c_k \,\text{BF}_{\mathcal{O}}(g_{i_k})$ for any $c_j, c_k \in A$ or
(2) $d_j x^{\alpha_j} \in \partial\mathcal{O}$ and $d_j x^{\alpha_j} \in \text{supp}(g_{i_j})$ implies $c_k x^{\alpha_j} \notin \text{supp}(g_{i_k})$ for some $c_k, d_j \in A$.

The ordered set of acyclic $\mathcal{O}$-border prebasis that satisfies the permutation given above is called a 'well ordered' acyclic $\mathcal{O}$-border prebasis.

Example 7.2. We consider Example 6.2. The set $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_7\}$, where $g_1 = xy - x$, $g_2 = y^2 - y$, $g_3 = x^3 - 2y$, $g_4 = x^2y - x^2 + 10$, $g_5 = 4x - 2y$, $g_6 = 3y - 3x$ and $g_7 = 2x^2 - x + 5$ is an $\mathcal{O}$-border prebasis but it is not acyclic. Let $G' = \{g'_1, \ldots, g'_7\}$ where $g'_1 = xy - x$, $g'_2 = y^2 - y$, $g'_3 = x^3 - x^2 + 6$, $g'_4 = x^2y - y + 5$, $g'_5 = 4x - 7$, $g'_6 = 3y - x$ and $g'_7 = 2x^2 - 2y - 3x$ which is also a $\mathcal{O}$-border prebasis but it is acyclic since the permutation of G', $\{g'_1, g'_2, g'_3, g'_4, g'_7, g'_6, g'_5\}$ satisfies the acyclicity condition.

Example 7.3. Consider Example 6.3. The set $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_{15}\}$, where $g_1 = x^3 - 3$, $g_2 = x^2y - 3u_1y$, $g_3 = x^2z - 2z$, $g_4 = xy^2 - x + 10$, $g_5 = xyz - 11xy$, $g_6 = xz^2 - u_2u_1^2x^2$, $g_7 = y^2 - x + u_1u_2$, $g_8 = yz - 3y + 2$, $g_9 = z^2 + 5xz + 11u_1x$, $g_{10} = u_1^2y + u_2x + 3$, $g_{11} = (u_2^2 - 3u_1)z - u_2^2y$, $g_{12} = u_1^2xy + 3u_1x - 2z$, $g_{13} = (u_2^2 - 1)xy + 2x^2$, $g_{14} = u_1xz + 3u_1x^2$, $g_{15} = u_2xz + 2u_1xy + 4x^2 - 4z - 10u_1y + 14$ is an acyclic $\mathcal{O}$-border prebasis since the following permutation of G, $\{g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, g_5, g_6, g_7, g_8, g_9, g_{14}, g_{15}, g_{13}, g_{12}, g_{11}, g_{10}\}$ satisfies the acyclicity condition.

We now show the correctness and termination of Algorithm 6.26 when the $\mathcal{O}$-border prebasis is acyclic.

Proposition 7.4. (Border division algorithm) *Consider a polynomial $f \in A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. If the $\mathcal{O}$-border prebasis $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_s\} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is acyclic, then the Algorithm 6.26 terminates for a polynomial, $f \in A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and returns a tuple,*

$$(f_1, \ldots, f_s, a_1, \ldots, a_t) \in (A[x_1, \ldots, x_n])^s \times A^t$$

such that

$$f = f_1g_1 + \ldots + f_sg_s + a_1x^{\alpha_1} + \ldots + a_tx^{\alpha_t},$$

and $\deg(f_i) \leq \mathrm{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(f)$ *for* $i = 1, \ldots, s$ *with* $f_ig_i \neq 0$.

Proof. We first describe the execution of the algorithm. In Step 4, $\mathrm{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(d_1x^{\gamma_1}) = 1$ and $d_1x^{\gamma_1} \in \mathrm{Span}_A(\langle \partial\mathcal{O} \rangle_A)$. This implies that $d_1 \in \mathcal{I}_{x^{\gamma_1}}$, where $\mathcal{I}_{x^{\gamma_1}}$ is an ideal generated by $\langle u_1, \ldots, u_k \rangle$, $u_ix^{\gamma_1} \in \partial\mathcal{O}_s$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. Thus, there exists $l_1, \ldots, l_k \in A$ such that $d_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{k} l_iu_i$. Hence, $d_1x^{\gamma_1} = \sum_{s'+1}^{s} b_i(c_ix^{\beta_i})$, where $c_ix^{\beta_i} \in \partial\mathcal{O}_s$ and $b_i = l_j$ when $c_ix^{\beta_i} = u_jx^{\gamma_1}$ for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, and $b_i = 0$, otherwise. The other steps, due to the absence of scalar border terms, mimics the border basis division in fields and therefore the description of the execution of these steps of the algorithm is the same as in (Kehrein & Kreuzer, 2005, Proposition 3).

Now we prove that the representation,

$$f = f_1g_1 + \ldots + f_sg_s + a_1x^{\alpha_1} + \ldots + a_tx^{\alpha_t} + h,$$

computed by the algorithm is valid in every step of the algorithm. Clearly, it is satisfied in Step 1. In Step 4 we subtract $(b_{s'+1}g_{s'+1} + \ldots + b_sg_s)$ from h. These b_is are then added to f_is, i.e. $f_i = f_i + b_i$, $s' + 1 \leq i \leq s$. Similarly in Step 5, from h we subtract $d_1x^{\mu}g_i$ and we add d_1x^{μ} to f_i. The constants $a_1, \ldots, a_t$ are modified only in Step 3. The representation of f is also valid because $\mathrm{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(h) = 0$. If the algorithm terminates, $h = 0$ and we have a valid representation.

Now we prove that $\deg(f_i) \leq \mathrm{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(f)$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$. In Step 5 of the algorithm where we divide using the monomial border, our choice of the term d_1x^{μ} is such that $\deg(dx^{\mu}) = \mathrm{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(h) - 1$. In Step 4, where we divide using the scalar border,

the index of the intermediate polynomial, h is 1. The $b_i s$ are actually constants and the degree of $f_i s$ are therefore zero. All the other steps in the algorithm do not affect the $f_i s$. Also, in the algorithm the index of the intermediate polynomial, h never increases. From the above steps, the inequality $\deg(f_i) \leq \mathrm{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(f) - 1$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$ follows.

Now we prove that the algorithm terminates on all inputs. In Step 4, $\mathrm{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(h) = 1$ and the $\mathrm{supp}(h) \subseteq \mathrm{Span}_A(\mathrm{Mon}(\mathcal{O})) \cup \mathrm{Span}_A(\partial\mathcal{O}_s) = \mathrm{Span}_A(\mathrm{Mon}(\mathcal{O}))$. We claim that Step 4 terminates after a finite number of steps for an acyclic $\mathcal{O}$-border prebasis. Let $h = d_1 x^{\alpha_1} + \ldots + d_t x^{\alpha_t}$. For simplicity, let us assume that the acyclic $\mathcal{O}$-border prebasis, G is well ordered. It can easily be seen that g_1 will be used atmost once in Step 4, while g_2 will be used at most twice ($h \xrightarrow{g_2} h_1 \xrightarrow{G\setminus\{g_1,g_2\}}_+ h_2 \xrightarrow{g_1} h_3 \xrightarrow{G\setminus\{g_1,g_2\}}_+ h_4 \xrightarrow{g_2} h_5$). Similarly, any g_i will be used atmost $\mathrm{O}\big(i^2\big)$ times. For the set G, therefore Step 4 is executed at most $\mathrm{O}\big(s^3\big)$ times. All the other steps of the division correspond to either order ideal, monomial border or the k^{th} order border, $k \gneq 1$ and therefore mimic the border division in fields. Hence, the termination is guaranteed by (Kehrein & Kreuzer, 2005, Proposition 3). □

Consider an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ generated by an acyclic $\mathcal{O}$-border prebasis, $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_s\}$. The border division algorithm gives us the remainder upon division by G as a part of its output. We now give a formal definition for remainder.

Definition 7.5. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an order ideal and $\mathrm{Mon}(\mathcal{O}) = \{x^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, x^{\alpha_t}\}$, its monomial part. Let $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_s\}$ be the $\mathcal{O}$-border prebasis. The $\mathcal{O}$-remainder of a polynomial f w.r.t. G, if it exists, is given as

$$\mathrm{rem}_{\mathcal{O},G}(f) = a_1 x^{\alpha_1} + \ldots + a_t x^{\alpha_t},$$

where $f = f_1 g_1 + \ldots + f_s g_s + a_1 x^{\alpha_1} + \ldots + a_t x^{\alpha_t}$ and $a_i \in A$ for $i = \{1, \ldots, t\}$ is a representation computed by the border division algorithm whenever the algorithm terminates.

8. Acyclic Border Bases in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$

With the concept of acyclic $\mathcal{O}$-border prebasis that guarantee the termination of division algorithm, we proceed to define border basis for polynomial rings over rings.

Definition 8.1. Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be an ideal such that $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is a finitely generated A-module. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an order ideal and $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_s\}$ be an acyclic $\mathcal{O}$-border prebasis consisting of polynomials in $\mathfrak{a}$. G is an acyclic $\mathcal{O}$- border basis of $\mathfrak{a}$ if $\mathrm{Mon}(\mathcal{O})$ is a weak$^+$ basis of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$.

The next proposition shows that this special set of polynomials generate the ideal in $\mathfrak{a}$.

Proposition 8.2. *Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be an ideal such that $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is finitely generated as an A-module. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an order ideal and let $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_s\}$ be an acyclic $\mathcal{O}$-border basis for $\mathfrak{a}$. Then $\mathfrak{a}$ is generated by G.*

Proof. Let $\mathrm{Mon}(\mathcal{O}) = \{x^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, x^{\alpha_t}\}$ be the monomial part of $\mathcal{O}$ and $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_s\}$ be an acyclic $\mathcal{O}$-border basis of $\mathfrak{a}$. Consider, $f \in \mathfrak{a}$. By Algorithm 7.4, we have $f_1, \ldots, f_s \in A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and $a_1, \ldots, a_t \in A$ such that

$$f = f_1 g_1 + \ldots + f_s g_s + a_1 x^{\alpha_1} + \ldots + a_t x^{\alpha_t}. \tag{2}$$

Now, $f - \sum_{i=1}^{s} f_i g_i \in \mathfrak{a}$. This implies, $\sum_{i=1}^{t} a_i x^{\alpha_i} \in \mathfrak{a}$. Let $h = \sum_{i=1}^{t} a_i x^{\alpha_i}$. Suppose $h \neq 0$, then $a_i \notin \mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_i}} \setminus \{0\}$, for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$, for else if $a_i \in \mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_i}}$ then $\mathrm{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(h) = 1$. Then, Equation (2) is not a valid output of Algorithm 7.4. But we are also given that G is an acyclic border basis of $\mathfrak{a}$. The weak$^+$ basis property of border basis implies that if $\sum_{i=1}^{t} a_i x^{\alpha_i} \in \mathfrak{a}$ for $a_i \neq 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$, then $a_i \in \mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_i}}$ for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$. This is a contradiction. Hence, $h = 0$. We have, $f = \sum_{i=1}^{s} f_i g_i$. The other inclusion follows from the fact that $G \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$. □

We now have to determine if an acyclic $\mathcal{O}$-border basis exists for every ideal, $\mathfrak{a}$ in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. We first address below the simpler question of existence of an acylic $\mathcal{O}$-border basis for $\mathfrak{a}$ given an order ideal, $\mathcal{O}$. We also prove the uniqueness of the acyclic $\mathcal{O}$-border basis for a specific order ideal, $\mathcal{O}$.

Theorem 8.3. *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an order ideal, and let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be an ideal such that $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is a finitely generated A-module. If $\mathrm{Mon}(\mathcal{O})$ is a weak$^+$ basis then there exists a unique acyclic $\mathcal{O}$-border basis for $\mathfrak{a}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathrm{Mon}(\mathcal{O}) = \{x^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, x^{\alpha_t}\}$ be the monomial part of $\mathcal{O}$, and let $\partial\mathcal{O} = \{c_1 x^{\beta_1}, \ldots, c_s x^{\beta_s}\}$ be the border of $\mathcal{O}$. We now prove that an $\mathcal{O}$-border basis exists for $\mathfrak{a}$. Since $\mathrm{Mon}(\mathcal{O})$ is a weak$^+$ basis, for each $c_i x^{\beta_i} \in \partial\mathcal{O}$ we can always obtain $a_j^{(i)} x^{\alpha_i} s \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $c_i x^{\beta_i} = \sum_{j=1}^{t} a_j^{(i)} x^{\alpha_j} \bmod \mathfrak{a}$. We construct a set G as

$$G = \{c_i x^{\beta_i} - \sum_{j=1}^{t} a_j^{(i)} x^{\alpha_j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\}.$$

Clearly, G is an acyclic $\mathcal{O}$-border prebasis. Now, $G \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ and $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a} = \{\sum a_i x^{\alpha_i} + \mathfrak{a} \mid x^{\alpha_i} \in \mathrm{Mon}(\mathcal{O}) \text{ and } a_i \in A\}$. Hence, G is an $\mathcal{O}$-border basis of $\mathfrak{a}$.

To prove the uniqueness of $\mathcal{O}$-border basis, consider two acyclic $\mathcal{O}$-border bases for $\mathfrak{a}$. Let $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_t\}$ and $G = \{g'_1, \ldots, g'_t\}$ where

$$g_i = c_i x^{\beta_i} - \sum_{j=1}^{t} a_j^{(i)} x^{\alpha_j}, \text{ where each } a_j^{(i)} x^{\alpha_j} \in \mathcal{O} \text{ and,}$$

$$g'_i = c_i x^{\beta_i} - \sum_{j=1}^{t} a_j'^{(i)} x^{\alpha_j}, \text{ where each } a_j'^{(i)} x^{\alpha_j} \in \mathcal{O}.$$

We have,

$$g_i - g'_i = \sum_{j=1}^{t} a_j^{(i)} x^{\alpha_j} - \sum_{j=1}^{t} a_j'^{(i)} x^{\alpha_j} \in \mathfrak{a}.$$

This implies,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{t}(a_j^{(i)} - a_j'^{(i)})x^{\alpha_j} \in \mathfrak{a}.$$

Since $a_j^{(i)}$ and $a_j'^{(i)}$ are coset representatives of $A/\mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_j}}$ and the difference of two different cosets cannot give the zero coset, we have $a_j^{(i)} = a_j'^{(i)}$. Therefore, $g_i = g_i'$. Hence, the acyclic $\mathcal{O}$-border basis of $\mathfrak{a}$ is unique. □

Thus, the question of existence of a border basis for an ideal reduces to the following question. Given an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$, does there always exist a proper coefficient ideal mapping, $\mathcal{I}$ such that the monomial part of the order ideal, $\mathrm{Mon}(\mathcal{O})$ constructed from $\mathcal{I}$ forms a weak$^+$ basis for $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ and also if the $\mathcal{O}$-border basis so formed is acyclic. We use the theory of Gröbner bases to show the existence of such a coefficient ideal mapping, $\mathcal{I}$.

Theorem 8.4. *Given an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ such that $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is finitely generated as an A-module, there always exists an acyclic border basis of $\mathfrak{a}$ corresponding to some order ideal, $\mathcal{O}$.*

Proof. Let $\prec$ be a monomial order on $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. Let $G' = \{g_1', \ldots, g_t'\}$ be a Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{a}$. Consider the coefficient ideal mapping fixed by G, $\mathcal{I}^{(G)}$. Since $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is finitely generated, the mapping is proper. Let $\mathcal{O}_\prec$ be the order ideal corresponding to $\mathcal{I}^{(G)}$. It follows from Corollary 5.5 that $\mathrm{Mon}(\mathcal{O}_\prec)$ forms a weak$^+$ basis. Let $\partial\mathcal{O}_\prec = \{c_1x^{\beta_1}, \ldots, c_sx^{\beta_s}\}$ be the border of $\mathcal{O}_\prec$. Let G be the $\mathcal{O}_\prec$-border prebasis constructed along the same lines as in the proof of Theorem 8.3. Therefore, each polynomial g_i in G is of the form,

$$g_i = c_ix^{\beta_i} - \sum_{j=1}^{t} a_j^{(i)}x^{\alpha_j},$$

where $c_ix^{\beta_i} \in \partial\mathcal{O}$ and each $a_j^{(i)}x^{\alpha_j} \in \mathcal{O}$.

For any $g_i \in G$, monomial ordering imposes that for every nonzero $a_j^{(i)}x^{\alpha_j}$, $x^{\alpha_j} \prec x^{\beta_i}$. Also, for two distinct border terms $c_ix^{\beta_i}$ and $c_jx^{\beta_j}$ such that $x^{\beta_i} \neq x^{\beta_j}$, either $x^{\beta_i} \prec x^{\beta_j}$ or $x^{\beta_j} \prec x^{\beta_i}$. The acyclic property of G follows from these two observations. Theorem 8.3 implies that G forms a unique acyclic $\mathcal{O}_\prec$-border basis for $\mathfrak{a}$. □

For any polynomial $f \in A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, given an acylic $\mathcal{O}$-border prebasis, G and an order ideal, $\mathcal{O}$, Definition 7.5 gives the $\mathcal{O}$-remainder of f denoted by $\mathrm{rem}_{\mathcal{O},\mathrm{G}}(f)$.

Proposition 8.5. *Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be an ideal such that $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is finitely generated as an A-module and $G \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ be an acyclic $\mathcal{O}$-border basis of $\mathfrak{a}$. For any $f \in A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, $f \in \mathfrak{a}$ if and only if $\mathrm{rem}_{\mathcal{O},G}(f) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\mathrm{Mon}(\mathcal{O}) = \{x^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, x^{\alpha_t}\}$ be the monomial part of $\mathcal{O}$ and $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_s\}$ be an acyclic $\mathcal{O}$-border basis of $\mathfrak{a}$. By Algorithm 7.4, we have $f_1, \ldots, f_s \in A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$

and $a_1, \ldots, a_t \in A$ such that

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{s} f_i g_i + \text{rem}_{\mathcal{O},\mathcal{G}}(f), \tag{3}$$

where $\text{rem}_{\mathcal{O},G}(f) = \sum_{j=1}^{t} a_j x^{\alpha_j}$. If $\text{rem}_{\mathcal{O},G}(f) = 0$ then $f = \sum_{i=1}^{s} f_i g_i$. Hence $f \in \mathfrak{a}$.

Now let $f \in \mathfrak{a}$. Then $f - \sum_{i=1}^{s} f_i g_i \in \mathfrak{a}$. This implies, $\text{rem}_{\mathcal{O},G}(f) \in \mathfrak{a}$. Suppose $\text{rem}_{\mathcal{O},G}(f)$ is not equal to zero. The proof proceeds along the same lines as the proof of Proposition 8.2, where we take $h = \text{rem}_{\mathcal{O},G}(f)$ and arrive at a contradiction. Hence, when $f \in \mathfrak{a}$ the remainder of f w.r.t. G is zero.

□

The above proposition enables us to solve the ideal membership problem provided the acyclic border basis of the ideal is known. However, it must be noted that the remainder on division by an acyclic $\mathcal{O}$-border basis for any $f \in A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is not unique.

Below we define the normal form of a polynomial w.r.t. an acyclic border basis.

Definition 8.6. Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be an ideal such that $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is finitely generated as an A-module. Let $G \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ be an acyclic $\mathcal{O}$-border basis for $\mathfrak{a}$. Let $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{O}) = \{x^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, x^{\alpha_t}\}$ be the monomial part of $\mathcal{O}$, $C_{\mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_i}}}$ be the set of coset representatives of the equivalence classes $A/\mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_i}}$ and f be any polynomial in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. Let r be a polynomial given by $r = a_1 x^{\alpha_1} + \cdots + a_t x^{\alpha_t}$, where $a_i \in C_{\mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_i}}}$, $1 \leq i \leq t$. Then r is said to be the normal form of f if $f = r \mod \mathfrak{a}$.

The normal form of a polynomial is denoted by $\text{NF}_{\mathcal{O},G}(f)$. We now prove that every polynomial f in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ has a unique normal form.

Proposition 8.7. *Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be an ideal such that $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is finitely generated as an A-module. Let $G \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ be an acyclic $\mathcal{O}$-border basis for $\mathfrak{a}$. For any polynomial f in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, the normal form of f is unique.*

Proof. Let $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{O}) = \{x^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, x^{\alpha_t}\}$ be the monomial part of $\mathcal{O}$. Let $s' \leq t$ be the number of monomials in the scalar border, $\partial\mathcal{O}_s$ and $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_s\}$ be an acyclic $\mathcal{O}$-border basis of $\mathfrak{a}$. The existence of a normal form, $\text{NF}_{\mathcal{O},G}(f)$ for any polynomial $f \in A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is a consequence of the equality,

$$A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a} = \{\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i x^{\alpha_i} + \mathfrak{a} \mid a_i \in C_{\mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_i}}}\}.$$

Now we prove the uniqueness of the normal form of f. Let r_1 and r_2 be two different normal forms for f. Then $f = r_1 \mod \mathfrak{a}$ and $f = r_2 \mod \mathfrak{a}$. This implies, $r_1 = r_2 \mod \mathfrak{a}$. Therefore, $r_1 - r_2 \in \mathfrak{a}$. Let $r_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{t} b_i x^{\alpha_i}$ and $r_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{t} b'_i x^{\alpha_i}$, where b_i and b'_i are coset representatives in $C_{\mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_i}}}$. Then, $r_1 - r_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{t} (b_i - b'_i) x^{\alpha_i}$. If $r_1 \neq r_2$, then there is atleast one i such that $b_i \neq b'_i$. This implies that $b_i - b'_i \neq 0$. Since b_i and b'_i are coset representatives of distinct cosets, $b_i - b'_i \notin \mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_i}}$. Therefore,

$(r_1 - r_2) \notin \mathfrak{a}$. Hence a contradiction. Thus $r_1 = r_2$ and the normal form of a polynomial is unique. □

We show below that if we can associate a monomial order to an order ideal $\mathcal{O}$, then the reduced Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{a}$ w.r.t. to that monomial order is a subset of the acyclic border basis associated with $\mathcal{O}$.

Proposition 8.8. *Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be an ideal. Let $\prec$ be a monomial order. Let $\mathcal{O}_\prec$ be an order ideal corresponding to $\prec$ such that* $\mathrm{Mon}(\mathcal{O})$ *forms a weak$^+$ basis for $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$. Then the Pauer (2007) reduced Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{a}$ w.r.t. $\prec$ is a subset of the acyclic $\mathcal{O}$-border basis of $\mathfrak{a}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathrm{Mon}(\mathcal{O}_\prec) = \{x^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, x^{\alpha_t}\}$ be the monomial part of $\mathcal{O}_\prec$ and $\partial\mathcal{O}_\prec = \{c_1 x^{\beta_1}, \ldots, c_s x^{\beta_s}\}$ be the border of $\mathcal{O}_\prec$. Let $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_s\}$ be the acyclic $\mathcal{O}_\prec$-border basis for $\mathfrak{a}$. The acyclic $\mathcal{O}_\prec$-border basis is constructed as in the proof of Theorem 8.4. Since G is an acyclic $\mathcal{O}_\prec$-border basis we have from Proposition 8.5 that for any $f \in \mathfrak{a}$, f reduces to zero. This implies that G is a Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{a}$. Further, $\langle \mathrm{Lt}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle$ is generated by $\partial\mathcal{O}_\prec$. Recall that, $\langle \mathrm{Lc}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a}) \rangle = \langle \mathrm{Lc}(f) \ : \ f \in \mathfrak{a},\ \mathrm{Lm}(f) = x^\alpha \rangle$ and $\langle \mathrm{Lc}(< \alpha, \mathfrak{a}) \rangle = \langle \mathrm{Lc}(f) \ : \ f \in \mathfrak{a},\ \alpha \in \deg(f) + \mathbb{N}^n,\ \mathrm{Lm}(f) \neq x^\alpha \rangle$. Clearly, for each monomial x^α, in the monomial part, $\mathrm{Mon}(\mathcal{O}_\prec)$, $\langle \mathrm{Lc}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a}) \rangle = \mathcal{I}_{x^\alpha}$. From the definition of order ideal $\langle \mathrm{Lc}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a}) \rangle \neq \langle 1 \rangle$. For each monomial x^α in the monomial border, $\partial\mathcal{O}_{\prec_m}$, $\langle \mathrm{Lc}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a}) \rangle = \langle 1 \rangle$. Also, for each monomial $x^\alpha \in \mathrm{Lm}(G)$, we have

$$\mathrm{Gen}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a}) = \{\eta(a, \langle \mathrm{Lc}(< \alpha, \mathfrak{a}) \rangle) : a \in \mathrm{Gen}(\langle \mathrm{Lc}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a}) \rangle)\} \setminus \{0\},$$

where $\eta(a, \langle \mathrm{Lc}(< \alpha, \mathfrak{a}) \rangle)$ maps to an element in the coset $a + \langle \mathrm{Lc}(< \alpha, \mathfrak{a}) \rangle$. Consider the set, $\partial\mathcal{O}_{\prec_{\mathrm{red}}} = \{cx^\alpha \in \partial\mathcal{O}_\prec \ : \ c \notin \langle \mathrm{Lc}(< \alpha, \mathfrak{a}) \rangle\}$. This set contains all the terms of the form cx^α in the border $\partial\mathcal{O}_\prec$ such that c cannot be expressed as a combination of leading coefficients of those monomials that properly divide x^α. Clearly, $\partial\mathcal{O}_{\prec_{\mathrm{red}}} \subseteq \partial\mathcal{O}_\prec$. Let $G_{\mathrm{red}} \subseteq G$ consist of polynomials in G with the border term in $\partial\mathcal{O}_{\prec_{\mathrm{red}}}$. It can easily be seen that $\langle \mathrm{Lt}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle = \langle \partial\mathcal{O}_{\prec_{\mathrm{red}}} \rangle$. Therefore, G_{red} is a Gröbner basis for $\mathfrak{a}$. Also, it is clear from the construction of $\partial\mathcal{O}_{\prec_{\mathrm{red}}}$ that $\mathrm{Gen}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a}) = \{c \ : \ cx^\alpha \in \partial\mathcal{O}_{\prec_{\mathrm{red}}}\}$. We now prove that G satisfies the two properties of Pauer's reduced Gröbner basis. The bijectivity of the map,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : \{g \in G_{\mathrm{red}} : \deg(g) = \alpha\} &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Gen}(\alpha, \mathfrak{a}) \\ \phi(g) &\longmapsto \mathrm{Lc}(g) \end{aligned}$$

follows from the observation that corresponding to each border term $cx^\beta \in \partial\mathcal{O}_\prec$, there is exactly one polynomial $g \in G$ such that the border term in g is cx^β. If we had not considered the reduced border $\partial\mathcal{O}_{\prec_{\mathrm{red}}}$, then for all $g \in G \setminus G_{\mathrm{red}}$, $\phi(g)$ will map to zero. Also, each polynomial $g_i \in G_{\mathrm{red}}$ is of the form,

$$c_i x^{\beta_i} - \sum_{j=1}^{t} a_j^{(i)} x^{\alpha_j} \text{ where } c_i x^{\beta_i} \in \partial\mathcal{O}_\prec \text{ and } a_j^{(i)} x^{\alpha_j} \in \mathcal{O}_\prec.$$

Since for each $a_j^{(i)} x^{\alpha_j}$, $a_j^{(i)} \in A/\mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_j}}$ we have that $\eta(a_j^{(i)}, \langle \mathrm{Lc}(\alpha_j, \mathfrak{a}) \rangle) = a_j^{(i)}$. Hence G_{red} satisfies the second condition of Pauer's reduced Gröbner basis. Therefore, G_{red} is a reduced Gröbner basis for $\mathfrak{a}$. □

From the above result we can see that for every ideal $\mathfrak{a}$, the set of all acyclic border bases contains all the reduced Gröbner bases w.r.t. any monomial order.

Theorem 8.9. *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a nonzero ideal in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, $\mathcal{O}$ be an order ideal and $\partial\mathcal{O} = \{c_1x^{\beta_1}, \ldots, c_sx^{\beta_s}\}$ be its border. Let $\mathrm{Mon}(\mathcal{O}) = \{x^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, x^{\alpha_t}\}$ be the monomial part of $\mathcal{O}$ and $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_s\}$ be an acyclic $\mathcal{O}$-border prebasis. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) G is an acyclic $\mathcal{O}$-border basis for $\mathfrak{a}$.
(ii) $f \in \mathfrak{a}$ if and only if $f \xrightarrow{G}_+ 0$.
(iii) $f \in \mathfrak{a}$ if and only if there exists $f_1, \ldots, f_s \in A[x_1, , \ldots, x_n]$ such that $f = \sum_{i=1}^{s} f_ig_i$ and $\max\{\deg(f_i) \mid f_ig_i \neq 0, i = 1, \ldots, s\} = \mathrm{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(f) - 1$.
(iv) The border form of $\mathfrak{a}$, $\mathrm{BF}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{a}) = \langle c_1x^{\beta_1}, \ldots, c_sx^{\beta_s}\rangle$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). The claim follows from the proof of Proposition 8.5.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Let $f \in \mathfrak{a}$. By the border division algorithm, there exists $f_1, \ldots, f_s \in A[x_1, , \ldots, x_n]$, $\deg(f_i) \leq \mathrm{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(f) - 1$, $1 \leq i \leq s$ such that $f = \sum_{i=1}^{s} f_ig_i$. Assume that $\max\{\deg(f_i)\} \lneq \mathrm{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(f) - 1$. It can easily be verified that $\mathrm{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(f_ig_i) \leq \mathrm{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(g_i) + \deg(f_i)$. The definition of $\mathcal{O}$-border prebasis implies that $\mathrm{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(g_i) = 1$. Thus $\mathrm{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(f_ig_i) \leq \deg(f_i) + 1 \lneq \mathrm{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(f) - 1 + 1$. Thus $\mathrm{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(f_ig_i) \lneq \mathrm{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(f)$. Also it can be seen that, $\mathrm{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(f + g) \leq \max\{\mathrm{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(f), \mathrm{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(g)\}$, when either $\mathrm{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(f) \geq 1$ or $\mathrm{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(g) \geq 1$. Thus, $\mathrm{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(\sum_{i=1}^{s} f_ig_i) \lneq \mathrm{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(f)$. This is a contradiction. Hence $\max\{\deg(f_i)\} = \mathrm{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(f) - 1$.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) Since each $g_i \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathrm{BF}_{\mathcal{O}}(g_i) = c_ix^{\beta_i}$, we have $\langle c_1x^{\beta_1}, \ldots, c_sx^{\beta_s}\rangle \subseteq \mathrm{BF}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{a})$. Consider a polynomial $f \in \mathfrak{a}$. Suppose $\mathrm{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(f) \geq 1$, then by Definitions 6.18 and 6.21 each term in $\mathrm{BF}_{\mathcal{O}}(f)$ is divisible by $cx^{\beta} \in \partial\mathcal{O}$. Hence, it follows that $\mathrm{BF}_{\mathcal{O}}(f) \in \langle c_1x^{\beta_1}, \ldots, c_sx^{\beta_s}\rangle$.

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the proper coefficient ideal mapping associated with the order ideal $\mathcal{O}$. Now let us assume that the there exists a polynomial $f \in \mathfrak{a} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\mathrm{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(f) = 0$ i.e., $f = \sum_{i=1}^{t} c_ix^{\alpha_i}$ where $c_i \notin \mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_i}}$. Then by hypothesis, there exist f_is, $1 \leq i \leq s$, such that $f = \sum_{i=1}^{s} f_ig_i$ and $\max\{\deg(f_i) \mid f_ig_i \neq 0, i = 1, \ldots, s\} = 0 - 1 = -1$. This is not possible since $\deg(f) \geq 0$ for all $f \in \mathbb{A}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. This implies that $f = 0$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\mathrm{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(f) \geq 1$. Thus, $\mathrm{BF}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq \langle c_1x^{\beta_1}, \ldots, c_sx^{\beta_s}\rangle$. The claim follows.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Consider a polynomial $f \in A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. By the border division algorithm, we have $f_1, \ldots, f_s \in A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and $a_1, \ldots, a_t \in A$ such that

$$f = f_1g_1 + \cdots + f_sg_s + a_1x^{\alpha_1} + \cdots + a_tx^{\alpha_t}.$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^{s} f_ig_i \in \mathfrak{a}$, $f = h \bmod \mathfrak{a}$ where $h = \sum_{j=1}^{t} a_jx^{\alpha_j}$. We are given that the $\mathcal{O}$-border prebasis, G is acyclic. Without loss of generality, let us assume that G is well ordered. Find the smallest i for which the border term in g_i belongs to $\partial\mathcal{O}_s$ and assume that the monomial in the border term is x^{α_1}. Let $G_1 \subseteq G$ represent all the polynomials for which the border monomial is x^{α_1} and let $|G_1| = s_1$.

Let the ideal $\mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_1}}$ be generated by $\{c_1, \ldots, c_{s_1}\}$ and let $b_1 \in C_{\mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_1}}}$ be the coset representative of $a_1 + \mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_1}}$. Then there exist $d_1, \ldots, d_{s_1} \in A$ such that

$$(a_1 - b_1) = d_1 c_1 + \cdots + d_{s_1} c_{s_1}.$$

Let $h_1 = h - d_1 g_1 + \cdots + d_{s_1} g_{s_1}$. Therefore we have,

$$h_1 = b_1 x^{\alpha_1} + b_2' x^{\alpha_2} + \cdots + b_t' x^{\alpha_t},$$

where $b_i' \in A$, $i \in \{2, \ldots, t\}$. Further, $h_1 = h \bmod \mathfrak{a}$. Repeating the above process for the remaining monomials in $\partial\mathcal{O}_s$ in the same sequence as the well ordered basis, we get

$$h_{s'} = b_1 x^{\alpha_1} + \ldots + b_{s'} x^{\alpha_{s'}} + b_{s'+1} x^{\alpha_{s+1}} + \cdots + b_t x^{\alpha_t},$$

where each b_i is a coset representative in $C_{\mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_i}}}$. Note that for x^{α_i}, $s'+1 \leq i \leq t$, $\mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_i}} = \{0\}$ and $b_i \in A$. The acyclicity of the basis ensures that at each stage, i, all b_j, $j \lneq i$ will not be modified. Further, at every stage i, the intermediate polynomial, $h_i = h_{i-1} \bmod \mathfrak{a}$. Therefore, $h_{s'} = h \bmod \mathfrak{a}$ which implies that $f = h_{s'} \bmod \mathfrak{a}$. Further, each b_i is a coset representative in $C_{\mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_i}}}$ where $x^{\alpha_i} \in \mathrm{Mon}(\mathcal{O})$. Hence, $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a} = \{\sum_{i=1}^{t} a_i x^{\alpha_i} + \mathfrak{a} \mid a_i \in C_{\mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_i}}}\}$.

To prove the second condition of the weak$^+$ basis definition (Definition 5.3), consider a polynomial $f = \sum_{i=1}^{t} a_i x^{\alpha_i} \in \mathfrak{a}$. Then,there exists an $i \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$ such that $a_i x^{\alpha_i} \in \mathrm{BF}_{\mathcal{O}}(f)$. By hypothesis we have, $\mathrm{BF}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{a}) = \langle c_1 x^{\beta_1}, \ldots, c_s x^{\beta_s} \rangle$. Since $\mathrm{BF}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{a})$ is an ideal generated by terms, we have $a_i x^{\alpha_i} \in \mathrm{BF}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{a})$. Thus, there exists terms $d_i x^{\gamma_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq s$ such that

$$a_i x^{\alpha_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{s} (d_i x^{\gamma_i})(c_i x^{\beta_i}).$$

Since for all $x^{\beta} | x^{\alpha_i}$ $\mathcal{I}_{x^{\beta}} \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_i}}$, we have $a_i \in \mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha_i}}$.

Consider a proper coefficient ideal mapping, $\mathcal{I}'$ such that for any $x^{\alpha} \in \mathrm{Mon}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n])$, either $\mathcal{I}'_{x^{\alpha}} \subsetneq \mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha}}$ or $\mathcal{I}'_{x^{\alpha}} = \mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha}}$. Let $\mathcal{O}'$ be the order ideal associated with $\mathcal{I}'$ and $\partial\mathcal{O}' = \{c_1' x^{\beta_1}, \ldots, c_l' x^{\beta_l}\}$ be the corresponding border. Assume that $\mathrm{Mon}(\mathcal{O}')$ satisfies (i) and (ii). Consider the ideal, $\mathfrak{A} = \langle c_1' x^{\beta_1}, \ldots, c_l' x^{\beta_l} \rangle$. We have, $\mathfrak{A} \subsetneq \mathrm{BF}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{a})$ since $\mathcal{I}_{x^{\alpha}} \subsetneq \mathcal{I}'_{x^{\alpha}}$ for some x^{α}. Consider $c_{\omega} x^{\omega} \in \mathrm{BF}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{a}) \setminus \mathfrak{A}$. Let h denote the $\mathrm{rem}_{\mathcal{O},G}(c_{\omega} x^{\omega})$. Then each non zero term in h is of the form $d_{\gamma} x^{\gamma}$ such that $d_{\gamma} \in A/\mathcal{I}_{x^{\gamma}}$ and $x^{\gamma} \in \mathrm{Mon}(\mathcal{O})$. Since $d_{\gamma} \notin \mathcal{I}_{x^{\gamma}}$, it is not an element of $\mathcal{I}'_{x^{\gamma}}$. Also, $c_{\omega} \notin \mathcal{I}'_{x^{\omega}}$. Therefore, $\mathrm{Mon}(\mathcal{O}')$ fails to satisfy Condition (ii) of the definition of weak basis for $c_{\omega} x^{\omega} - h$ and therefore we have a contradiction. Thus $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_s\}$ is an $\mathcal{O}$-border basis for $\mathfrak{a}$. □

9. Example

In this section, we illustrate the concepts given in this paper with an example.

Example 9.1. Let us consider the polynomial ring, $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a coefficient ideal mapping such that $\mathcal{I}_1 = \{0\}$, $\mathcal{I}_x = \{0\}$, $\mathcal{I}_y = \{0\}$, $\mathcal{I}_{xy} = \{0\}$, $\mathcal{I}_{y^2} = \{0\}$,

$\mathcal{I}_{x^2} = \langle 2 \rangle$, $\mathcal{I}_{x^2y} = \langle 2 \rangle$ and the rest of the monomials map to $\langle 1 \rangle$. Therefore, $C_{\mathcal{I}_1} = C_{\mathcal{I}_x} = C_{\mathcal{I}_y} = C_{\mathcal{I}_{xy}} = C_{\mathcal{I}_{y^2}} = \mathbb{Z}$ and $C_{\mathcal{I}_{x^2}} = C_{\mathcal{I}_{x^2y}} = \{0, 1\}$. The set,

$$\mathcal{O} = \{a_1, a_2x, a_3y, a_4xy, a_5y^2, a_6x^2, a_7x^2y \mid a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5 \in \mathbb{Z},\ a_6, a_7 \in \{0, 1\}\}$$

is the order ideal corresponding to $\mathcal{I}$. The monomial part of $\mathcal{O}$ is the set $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{O}) = \{1, x, y, x^2, y^2, xy, x^2y\}$. The scalar border of the order ideal is $\partial\mathcal{O}_s = \{2x^2, 2x^2y\}$ and the monomial border is $\partial\mathcal{O}_m = \{x^3, y^3, xy^2, x^2y^2, x^3y\}$. Thus the border of $\mathcal{O}$ is the union of the scalar border and the monomial border, i.e.,

$$\partial\mathcal{O} = \partial\mathcal{O}_m \cup \partial\mathcal{O}_s = \{x^3, y^3, xy^2, x^2y^2, x^3y, 2x^2, 2x^2y\}.$$

Consider the set $G = \{g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, g_5, g_6, g_7\}$ where $g_1 = x^3 - x,\ g_2 = y^3 - y,\ g_3 = xy^2 - xy,\ g_4 = x^2y^2 - x^2y,\ g_5 = x^3y - xy,\ g_6 = 2x^2y - y^2 - y$ and $g_7 = 2x^2 + 2xy - y^2 - 2x - y$. The set G is an $\mathcal{O}$-border prebasis for the ideal $\mathfrak{a} = \langle g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, g_5, g_6, g_7 \rangle$. It is also clear that the $\mathcal{O}$-border prebasis satisfies the properties of acyclicity. Hence G is an acyclic $\mathcal{O}$-border prebasis.

The set G is a Gröbner basis for $\mathfrak{a}$ with deglex order with $x > y$. The proof of Theorem 8.4 implies that the set G is an acyclic $\mathcal{O}$-border basis for $\mathfrak{a}$. Hence the border form ideal of $\mathfrak{a}$ is generated by the border terms i.e.,

$$\text{BF}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{a}) = \langle x^3, y^3, xy^2, x^2y^2, x^3y, 2x^2, 2x^2y \rangle.$$

Now we demonstrate the border division algorithm (Algorithm 7.4) for a polynomial, $f = x^4 + 2x^3y^2 + x^2 + 4xy + 15$ w.r.t. G. We have $\text{Mon}(\mathcal{O}) = \{x^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, x^{\alpha_7}\}$ where $x^{\alpha_1} = 1,\ x^{\alpha_2} = x,\ x^{\alpha_3} = y,\ x^{\alpha_4} = x^2,\ x^{\alpha_5} = y^2,\ x^{\alpha_6} = xy$ and $x^{\alpha_7} = x^2y$. The monomial border is $\partial\mathcal{O}_m = \{b_1, \ldots, b_5\}$ and the scalar border is $\partial\mathcal{O}_s = \{b_6, b_7\}$ where $b_1 = x^3,\ b_2 = y^3,\ b_3 = xy^2,\ b_4 = x^2y^2,\ b_5 = x^3y,\ b_6 = 2x^2y$ and $b_7 = 2xy$.

(1) Initialize $f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = f_4 = f_5 = f_6 = f_7 = 0$, $l_1 = l_2 = l_3 = l_4 = l_5 = l_6 = l_7 = 0$ and $h = x^4 + 2x^3y^2 + x^2 + 4xy + 15$.
(2) Since $\text{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(h) = 2$, Step 5 of the algorithm is executed. We have $x^4 = xb_1$ and $\deg(x) = \text{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(h) - 1$. Hence we have $f_1 = f_1 + x$ and $h = x^4 + 2x^3y^2 + x^2 + 4xy + 15 - x(x^3 - x)$. Thus $h = 2x^3y^2 + 2x^2 + 4xy + 15$. Return to Step 2.
(3) Again, $\text{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(h) = 2$ and we return to Step 5 of the algorithm. We have $x^3y^2 = xb_4$ and $\deg(x) = \text{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(h) - 1$. After the reduction step we have $f_4 = f_4 + 2x$ and $h = 2x^3y^2 + 2x^2 + 4xy + 15 - 2x(x^2y^2 - x^2y) = 2x^3y + 2x^2 + 4xy + 15$. We return to Step 2.
(4) In this step, $\text{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(h) = 1$ and the polynomial h has the monomial x^3y in its support. Since $x^3y \in \partial\mathcal{O}_m$, we go to Step 5. We have $x^3y = 1 \cdot b_5$ and $\deg(1) = \text{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(h) - 1$. We perform the operation $f_5 = f_5 + 2$ and $h = 2x^3y + 2x^2 + 4xy + 15 - 2(x^3y - xy)$. Hence $h = 2x^2 + 6xy + 15$. We return to Step 2.
(5) We have $\text{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(h) = 1$ and none of the terms in the support of h are in the monomial border, $\partial\mathcal{O}_m$. Hence we perform Step 4 of the algorithm. We have $2x^2 = 1 \cdot b_1$. Thus we have $f_7 = f_7 + 1$ and $h = 2x^2 + 6xy + 15 - 1(2x^2 + 2xy - y^2 - 2x - y)$. Hence, $h = 4xy + y^2 + 2x + y + 15$.

(6) We have $\mathrm{ind}_{\mathcal{O}}(h) = 0$ and Step 3 of the algorithm is executed. We have $l_1 = l_1 + 15$, $l_2 = l_2 + 2$, $l_3 = l_3 + 1$, $l_4 = l_4 + 0$, $l_5 = l_5 + 1$, $l_6 = l_6 + 4$ and $l_7 = l_7 + 0$. The algorithm terminates and returns $(f_1, \ldots, f_7, l_1, \ldots, l_7)$.

Thus we have the following representation for f,

$$f = xg_1 + 0g_2 + 0g_3 + 1g_4 + 2g_5 + 0g_6 + 1g_7 + 15 + 2x + y + 0x^2 + 1y^2 + 4xy + 0x^2y.$$

The $\mathcal{O}$-remainder of f is $\mathrm{rem}_{\mathcal{O},G}(f) = 15 + 2x + y + y^2 + 4xy$. Since the remainder is not equal to zero, by Proposition 8.5, $f \notin \mathfrak{a}$. For this example, the normal form of f is equal to the $\mathcal{O}$-remainder,

$$\mathrm{NF}_{\mathcal{O},G}(f) = \mathrm{rem}_{\mathcal{O},G}(f) = 15 + 2x + y + y^2 + 4xy.$$

10. Concluding remarks

In this paper, we presented a generalization of the Macaulay-Buchberger Basis Theorem for polynomial rings over rings. This generalization plays a crucial role in extending the concept of border bases to rings. A future direction in this work is to determine an algorithmic characterization for border bases in this case.

Acknowledgments

Authors would like to thank Abhishek Dubey for valuable discussions during the initial phases of this work.

References

Adams, W. W. & Loustaunau, P. (1994). *An Introduction to Gröbner Bases*, vol. 3 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society.

Ananth, P. V. & Dukkipati, A. (2012). Complexity of Gröbner basis detection and border basis detection. *Theoretical Computer Science* **459**, 1–15.

Buchberger, B. (1965). *An Algorithm for Finding a Basis for the Residue Class Ring of a Zero-Dimensional Polynomial Ideal (in German)*. Ph.D. thesis, University of Innsbruck, Austria. (reprinted in Buchberger (2006)).

Buchberger, B. (2006). Bruno buchberger's phd thesis 1965: An algorithm for finding the basis elements of the residue class ring of a zero dimensional polynomial ideal. *Journal of Symbolic Computation* **41**, 475–511.

Francis, M. & Dukkipati, A. (2014). On reduced Gröbner bases and Macaulay-Buchberger basis theorem over noetherian rings. *Journal of Symbolic Computation* **65**, 1–14.

Kehrein, A. & Kreuzer, M. (2005). Characterizations of border bases. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* **196**(2-3), 251–270.

Kehrein, A. & Kreuzer, M. (2006). Computing border bases. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* **205**(2), 279–295.

Kehrein, A., Kreuzer, M. & Robbiano, L. (2005). An algebraists view on border bases. In: *Solving Polynomial Equations* (Dickenstein, A. & Emiris, I. Z., eds.), vol. 14 of *Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics*. Springer, pp. 169–202.

Kreuzer, M. & Robbiano, L. (2005). *Computational Commutative Algebra 2*. Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.

Macaulay, F. S. (1916). *The Algebraic Theory of Modular Systems*. The Cornell Library of Historical Mathematical Monographs. Cambridge University Press.

Möller, H. M. (1988). On the construction of Gröbner bases using syzygies. *Journal of Symbolic Computation* **6**(2-3), 345–359.

Pauer, F. (2007). Gröbner bases with coefficients in rings. *Journal of Symbolic Computation* **42**(11-12), 1003–1011.

Stetter, H. J. (2004). *Numerical Polynomial Algebra*. SIAM.

Trinks, W. (1978). Über B. Buchbergers Verfahren, Systeme algebraischer Gleichungen zu lösen. *Journal of Number Theory* **10**(4), 475–488.

Zacharias, G. (1978). *Generalized Gröbner Bases in Commutative Polynomial Rings*. Master's thesis, MIT,Cambridge,MA.

E-mail address: ad@csa.iisc.ernet.in

Dept. of Computer Science & Automation, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore